arXiv:1607.06699v1 [math.ST] 22 Jul 2016

Approximate maximum likelihood estimation of diffusion parameters from discrete observations*

Miljenko Huzak†

Abstract. An approximate maximum likelihood method of estimation of diffusion parameters (ϑ, σ) based on discrete observations of a diffusion X along fixed time-interval $[0, T]$ and Euler approximation of integrals is analyzed. We assume that X satisfies a SDE of form $dX_t = \mu(X_t, \vartheta)\, dt + \sqrt{\sigma} b(X_t)\, dW_t$, with non-random initial condition. SDE is nonlinear in ϑ generally. Based on assumption that maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ of the drift parameter based on continuous observation of a path over $[0, T]$ exists we prove that measurable estimator $(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}, \hat{\sigma}_{n,T})$ of the parameters obtained from discrete observations of X along $[0, T]$ by maximization of the approximate log-likelihood function exists, $\hat{\sigma}_{n,T}$ being consistent and asymptotically normal, and $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T$ tends to zero with rate $\sqrt{\delta}_{n,T}$ in probability when $\delta_{n,T} = \max_{0 \le i < n}(t_{i+1} - t_i)$ tends to zero with T fixed. The same holds in case of an ergodic diffusion when T goes to infinity in a way that $T\delta_n$ goes to zero with equidistant sampling, and we applied these to show consistency and asymptotical normality of $(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}, \hat{\sigma}_{n,T})$ and asymptotic efficiency of $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ in this case.

Key words. parameter estimation, diffusion processes, discrete observation

AMS subject classifications. 62M05, 62F12, 60J60

1 Introduction

Let $X = (X_t, t \ge 0)$ be an one-dimensional diffusion which satisfies Itô's stochastic differential equation (SDE) of the form

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \mu(X_s, \vartheta)\, ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{\sigma}\, b(X_s)\, dW_s, \quad t > 0. \tag{1}$$

Here, $W = (W_t, t \ge 0)$ is an one-dimensional standard Brownian motion, μ and b are real functions such that they ensure the uniqueness in law of a solution to (1) and x_0 is a given deterministic initial value of X (see e.g. [21] as a reference for SDE).

The problem is to estimate unknown vector parameter $\theta = (\vartheta, \sigma)$ of X, given a discrete observation $(X_{t_i}, 0 \le i \le n)$ of a trajectory $(X_t, t \in [0, T])$ over a time interval subdivision $0 =: t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n := T$, ($n$ is a positive integer) with diameter $\delta_{n,T} := \max_{0 \le i < n}(t_{i+1} - t_i)$, $T > 0$ being fixed. Component ϑ of θ is a (vector) drift parameter, and σ is a diffusion coefficient parameter. We assume that ϑ belongs to drift parameter space Θ, which is an open and convex set in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$, and that σ is a positive real number. Hence, $\theta = (\vartheta, \sigma)$ is an element of open and convex parameter space $\Psi := \Theta \times \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$.

Diffusion parameter estimation problems based on discrete observations have been discussed by many authors (see [1, 2, 7, 8, 10, 16, 17, 19, 24]). Although the maximum

*This work has been partially supported by Croatian Science Foundation under the project 3526, and by Ministry of Science, Education and Sports, Republic of Croatia, Grants 037-0372790-2800 and 037058.

†Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia (huzak@math.hr)

likelihood estimator (MLE) has the usual good properties (see [7]), it may not be possible to calculate it explicitly because the transition density of process X is generally unknown and so the likelihood function (LF) of the discrete process is unknown as well. Hence, other methods of estimations have to be considered.

The method of parameter estimation which is discussed in this paper and described in Section 3 below, is based on a Gaussian approximation of the transition density and can be interpreted as based on maximization of a discretized continuous-time log-likelihood function (LLF) as well. Such methods are usually called quasi-likelihood or approximate maximum likelihood (AML) methods, and in these ways obtained estimators we will briefly call approximate maximum likelihood estimators (AMLEs).

Motivation for analyzing the method described in Section 3 is in the fact that it can provide us with useful estimators of the parameters. It is well known that in a such way obtained AMLE of diffusion coefficient parameter is consistent and asymptotically normally distributed over fixed observational time interval $[0, T]$ when $\delta_{n,T} \to 0$ (see [8] in case where all drift parameters are known, and see [12] in general cases). The same holds in ergodic diffusion cases when $T \to +\infty$ in a way that $\delta_{n,T} = T/n \to 0$ for appropriate equidistant sampling (see e.g. [10] or [16]). Local asymptotic properties of the AMLE of drift parameters over fixed interval $[0, T]$ and when $\delta_{n,T} \to 0$ are less known especially in more general cases, particularly when drift is nonlinear in its parameters (see [3]). Although a knowledge of local asymptotic properties of drift parameter AMLEs does not imply their consistency or asymptotic normality necessarily it may help in further analysis of the AMLEs which might include, for example, measuring effects of discretization on the estimator's standard errors with applications in simulation studies. In ergodic diffusion cases it is well known that the AMLE of drift (vector) parameter is consistent and asymptotically normal and efficient when $T \to +\infty$ in a way that $T\delta_{n,T}^2 \to 0$ for equidistant sampling (see e.g. [10] for one-dimensional case and [16] for vector and more general cases) but the local properties of drift parameters AMLEs (for example the rate of convergence of $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T$ to zero) are still less investigated as well. Let us stress that the problems of statistical inferences about diffusion drift parameters are very important especially in biomedical modeling (see [14]).

In this paper we state general conditions for proving and prove: (1.) existence and measurability of the AMLEs, (2.) that $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T$ converges to zero with rate $\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}$ in probability when $\delta_{n,T} \to 0$ over fixed bounded observational time interval $[0, T]$, and (3.) that $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T$ converges to zero with rate $\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}$ in probability when $T \to +\infty$ in a way that $\delta_{n,T} = T/n \to 0$ in an ergodic diffusion case and equidistant sampling. We apply these findings in proving (4.) measurability, consistency and asymptotic normality of diffusion coefficient parameter AMLEs when $\delta_{n,T} \to 0$ in both cases: when T is fixed, and in an ergodic diffusion case when $T \to +\infty$ and $T\delta_{n,T} = T^2/n \to 0$ with equidistant sampling, and (5.) consistency and asymptotic normality and efficiency of drift parameter AMLEs in an ergodic case when $T \to +\infty$ in a way that $T\delta_{n,T} \to 0$ with equidistant sampling.

Properties (1.-2.) for drift parameter AMLEs were proved in [19] in cases when drift depended linearly on its parameters. For detailed review of liner case see [3]. The first nonlinear case was covered by the author in his Ph.D. thesis [13]. The main assumption was that the drift was an analytic function in its parameters with properly bounded derivatives of all orders. In this paper we only assume that the drift has at least $d + 3$ continuous derivatives with respect to the drift parameters (d is a dimension of the drift parameter vector). The main difficulty was in proving core technical Theorem 6.1 of Section 5. Although facts (4.-5.) have been already known we included these alternative proofs for completeness and the illustrative purposes of the applicability of the findings

(1.-3.) and in this paper developed methods. We belive that other discretization schemes (for example, of higher order) can be analyzed similarly by using the techniques of this paper.

The paper is organized in the following way. In the next section we introduce notation used through the paper. The discussed method of estimation is described in Section 3. The main results are presented in Section 4. An example is provided in Section 5. The proofs of the main results are in the last section. Lemmas are proved in Appendix.

2 Notations

Let $|\cdot|$ denote Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and its induced operator norm, and let $|\cdot|_\infty$ be max-norm. If f is a bounded real function, $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{\mathbf{z}} |f(\mathbf{z})|$ is a sup-norm of f. Let $L^p(\mathbb{P})$ be the Banach space of all random variables with finite p-th moment and let $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})}$ denote its norm.

If $(x,\vartheta) \mapsto f(x,\vartheta)$ is a real function defined on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$, then we denote by $D^m_\vartheta f(x,\vartheta)$ the m-th partial derivative with respect to ϑ. Let $|D^m_\vartheta f(x,\vartheta)|_\infty := \max_{j_1+\cdots+j_d=m} |\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\ldots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}}|$. In this case we say that $D^m_\vartheta f(x,\vartheta)$ is bounded if all partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\ldots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}}(x,\vartheta)$ are bounded, and $\|D^m_\vartheta f\|_\infty := \max_{j_1+\cdots+j_d=m} \|\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\ldots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}}\|_\infty$. The notation $D^2_\vartheta f(x,\vartheta) < \emptyset$ means that the Hessian $D^2_\vartheta f(x,\vartheta)$ is a negatively definite matrix. Similarly for a positively definite matrix. $D^0_{\mathbf{z}} f \equiv f$ by convention. The m-th derivative of f at a point $\mathbf{z}$ we simply denote by $D^m f(\mathbf{z})$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ and Θ be open sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The closure and the boundary of $\mathcal{K}$ will be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\partial\mathcal{K}$ respectively, and the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of Θ by $\mathcal{B}(\Theta)$. If $\mathcal{K}\subset\Theta$ is an open set such that $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ is compact in Θ then we will say that $\mathcal{K}$ is a relatively compact set in Θ.

Let $(\gamma_n, n\ge 1)$ be a sequence of positive numbers and let $(Y_n, n\ge 1)$ be a sequence of random variables defined on some probability space. We will say that $(Y_n, n\ge 1)$ is $O_{\mathbb{P}}(\gamma_n)$, and write $Y_n = O_{\mathbb{P}}(\gamma_n)$, if the sequence $(Y_n/\gamma_n, n\ge 1)$ is bounded in probability, i.e. if

$$\lim_{A\to+\infty} \overline{\lim_n}\, \mathbb{P}\{\gamma_n^{-1}|Y_n| > A\} = 0.$$

3 Estimation method

Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ be discrete times at which diffusion X is observed, and let us denote by Δ the difference operator defined in the following way: if F is a function defined on $[0,T]$ then $\Delta_i F := F(t_{i+1}) - F(t_i)$, $0\le i<n$.

Let us discretize SDE (1) over interval $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ by using the Euler approximation of the both types of integrals:

$$X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i} \approx \mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)(t_{i+1}-t_i) + \sqrt{\sigma}\, b(X_{t_i})(W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}).$$

In this way the following stochastic difference equation is obtained:

$$\Delta_i Z = \mu(Z_i,\vartheta)\,\Delta_i t + \sqrt{\sigma}\, b(Z_i)\,\Delta_i W \tag{2}$$

for $0\le i<n$, and $Z_0 = x_0$. Solution to (2) is a time-discrete process $Z = (Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ that is an approximation of X over $[0,T]$. Up to the constant not depending on the parameters a LLF of the process Z is

$$-\tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{(\Delta_i Z - \mu(Z_i,\theta)\Delta_i t)^2}{\sigma b^2(Z_i)\Delta_i t} + \log\sigma\right). \tag{3}$$

Criterion function

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,T}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_{n,T}(\vartheta,\sigma) := -\tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)\Delta_i t)^2}{\sigma b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} + \log\sigma\right) \tag{4}$$

is obtained from (3) by substituting $(Z_i, 0 \le i \le n)$ with discrete observations $(X_{t_i}, 0 \le i \le n)$ of diffusion X. Notice that

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,T}(\vartheta,\sigma) = -\tfrac{1}{2\sigma}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{(\Delta_i X)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} - \frac{n}{2}\log\sigma + \frac{1}{\sigma}\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta),$$

where

$$\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b^2(X_{t_i})}\Delta_i X - \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\mu^2(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b^2(X_{t_i})}\Delta_i t \tag{5}$$

depends only on drift parameter ϑ.

A point of maximum $\hat\theta_{n,T} = (\hat\vartheta_{n,T}, \hat\sigma_{n,T})$ of function (4) in Ψ is an AMLE of vector parametar θ if it exists. Notice that if AMLE exists then necessary

$$D\mathcal{L}_{n,T}(\hat\vartheta_{n,T},\hat\sigma_{n,T}) = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \begin{cases} D\ell_{n,T}(\hat\vartheta_{n,T}) = 0 \\ \hat\sigma_{n,T} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i},\hat\vartheta_{n,T})\Delta_i t)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t}. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Hence every stationary point $\hat\vartheta_{n,T}$ of function $\ell_{n,T}$ uniquely determines second component $\hat\sigma_{n,T}$ of stationary point $\hat\theta_{n,T} = (\hat\vartheta_{n,T}, \hat\sigma_{n,T})$ of function $\mathcal{L}_{n,T}$ by the following expression:

$$\hat\sigma_{n,T} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i},\hat\vartheta_{n,T})\Delta_i t)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t}. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, if $\hat\vartheta_{n,T}$ is a unique point of the global maximum of function $\ell_{n,T}$ then $\hat\theta_{n,T}$ is a unique point of the global maximum of function $\mathcal{L}_{n,T}$. Hence to prove existence of a measurable AMLE $\hat\theta_{n,T}$ it is sufficient to prove that there exists a measurable point of maximum of function $\ell_{n,T}$.

4 Main results

4.1 *Fixed maximal observational time case*

Let the following assumptions be satisfied.

(H1A): For all $\theta = (\vartheta,\sigma) \in \Psi$, there exists a strong solution (X, W) of the SDE (1) on time interval $[0, +\infty\rangle$ with values in open interval $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

(H2A): For all $\vartheta \in \Theta$, $\mu(\cdot,\vartheta) \in C^2(E)$ and $b \in C^3(E)$. Moreover for all $x \in E$, $b(x) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{sign} b = \text{const}$.

For example, by Theorem 5.2.2 in [11], (H1A) will be satisfied if in addition to (H2A) we assume that for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$ SDE (1) satisfies so called the bounded linear growth assumption, i.e. that there exists a positive constant C such that for all $x \in E$, $|\mu(x,\vartheta)| + |b(x)| \le C(1+|x|)$. More precisely, (H2A) states that the functions $x \mapsto b(x)$ and $x \mapsto \mu(x,\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \Theta$, are continuously differentiable in E and hence locally Lipschitz. In this case there exists a strong, continuous and pathwise unique solution to SDE (1) on time interval $[0, +\infty\rangle$. However, there are some SDEs which satisfy (H1A) and (H2A) but do not satisfy the linear growth assumption (see e.g. the example of Section 5).

(H3A): For all $(x,\vartheta) \in E \times \Theta$ and all $1 \le m \le d+3$, there exists partial derivatives $D^m_\vartheta\mu(x,\vartheta)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}D^m_\vartheta\mu(x,\vartheta)$,and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}D^m_\vartheta\mu(x,\vartheta)$ of drift function μ. Moreover, for all $0 \le m \le d+3$, $D^m_\vartheta\mu$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}D^m_\vartheta\mu$, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}D^m_\vartheta\mu \in C(E\times\Theta)$.

Let $\mathbb{P}_\theta$ denote the law of X for $\theta \in \Psi$. We assume that probabilities $\mathbb{P}_\theta$, $\theta \in \Psi$, are defined on filtered space $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_T^0, T \geq 0))$ where Ω is a set of continuous functions $\omega : [0, +\infty\rangle \to E$ such that $\omega(0) = x_0$, $\mathcal{F}_T^0$ is a σ-algebra generated by the coordinate functions up to the time T, and the filtration is augmented in so called the usual way (see e.g. I.4 in [21]). On this space, coordinate process $(\omega \mapsto \omega(t), t \geq 0)$ is a canonical version of X (see [21], I.§3). Hence, for each $T > 0$ we assume that X is defined on the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T^0)$ as a canonical process with law $\mathbb{P}_\theta$.

For the moment, let us assume that we are able to observe the process $(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ continuously. Because diffusion coefficient parameter σ can be uniquely determined through equation

$$\sigma = \frac{\lim_n \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} (X_{jT2^{-n}} - X_{(j-1)T2^{-n}})^2}{\int_0^T b^2(X_t)\, dt} \quad \text{(a.s. } \mathbb{P}_\theta) \tag{8}$$

(see [6]) since $b^2 > 0$ by (H2A), the estimation problem from continuously observed process can be reduced to an estimation problem for drift parameter $\vartheta \in \Theta$. In this case for every fixed diffusion parameter σ assumed to be known, and every two different $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \Theta$, probability measures $\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_1,\sigma)}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_2,\sigma)}$ are equivalent on $\mathcal{F}_T^0$, and

$$\log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_2,\sigma)}}{d\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_1,\sigma)}} = \frac{1}{\sigma}\Big(\int_0^T \frac{\mu(X_t,\vartheta_2) - \mu(X_t,\vartheta_1)}{b^2(X_t)} dX_t - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \frac{\mu^2(X_t,\vartheta_2) - \mu^2(X_t,\vartheta_1)}{b^2(X_t)} dt\Big)$$

where $\frac{d\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_2,\sigma)}}{d\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_1,\sigma)}}$ denotes Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_2,\sigma)}$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_1,\sigma)}$ on $\mathcal{F}_T^0$ (see [9]). If we fix some $\vartheta_* \in \Theta$, a continuous-time LLF is $\vartheta \mapsto \log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta,\sigma)}}{d\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_*,\sigma)}}$. Up to the constant and factor not depending on ϑ, function

$$\ell_T(\vartheta) := \int_0^T \frac{\mu(X_t,\vartheta)}{b^2(X_t)}\, dX_t - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \frac{\mu^2(X_t,\vartheta)}{b^2(X_t)}\, dt. \tag{9}$$

is equal to the LLF. Hence, ℓ_T will be called a continuous-time LLF (see [18]). Assumption (H3A) implies that ℓ_T is at least three-times continuously differentiable function on Θ, and for $1 \leq m \leq d+3$, its derivatives are equal to (see [18] for $m \leq 2$)

$$D^m \ell_T(\vartheta) = \int_0^T \frac{1}{b^2(X_t)} D_\vartheta^m \mu(X_t,\vartheta)\, dX_t - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \frac{1}{b^2(X_t)} D_\vartheta^m \mu^2(X_t,\vartheta)\, dt. \tag{10}$$

(H4A): For all $\omega \in \Omega$, function $\vartheta \mapsto \ell_T(\vartheta) = \ell_T(\vartheta,\omega)$ has a unique point of global maximum $\hat{\vartheta}_T = \hat{\vartheta}_T(\omega)$ in Θ. Moreover, $D_\vartheta^2 \ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T) < \emptyset$.

Assumption (H4A) enables property (ii) in Theorem 4.1 below, to be proved. If (H3A) and (H4A) hold then Lemma 4.1. from [15] implies that $(\omega, \vartheta) \mapsto \ell_T(\vartheta)(\omega)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_T^0 \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$-measurable function, and continuous-time MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ is an $\mathcal{F}_T^0$-measurable random variable.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}$ be a σ-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_T^0$ generated by discrete observation $(X_{t_i}, 0 \leq i \leq n)$ of process $(X_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$. Notice that if (H3A) holds then $(\omega, \vartheta) \mapsto \ell_{n,T}(\vartheta, \omega)$ (given by 5) is an $\mathcal{F}_{n,T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$ measurable function by Lemma 4.1. in [15].

If $\ell_{n,T}$ is a concave function on Θ then a stationary point $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ is an unique point of maximum of $\ell_{n,T}$ on Θ and hence it is $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}$-measurable by e.g. Lemma 4.1. in [15]. If $\ell_{n,T}$ is not a concave function on Θ, for proving $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}$-measurability of estimators $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ (and so $\hat{\theta}_{n,T}$) introduced in Section 3 we need additional assumptions:

(H5A): Θ is a relative compact set in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and for each $0 \leq m \leq d+3$, $D_\vartheta^m \mu$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_\vartheta^m \mu$, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_\vartheta^m \mu \in C(E \times \overline{\Theta})$.

(H6A): For all $\omega \in \Omega$ and some $r > 0$,

$$\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}(\omega), \omega) > \sup_{|x| \geq r} \ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}(\omega) + x, \omega).$$

Assumption (H6A) holds if (H5A) holds and $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ is the unique point of maximum of ℓ_T on compact $\overline{\Theta}$.

THEOREM **4.1** *Let us assume that* (H1A-4A) *hold and $T > 0$ be fixed. Then there exists a sequence $(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}, n \geq 1)$ of $\mathcal{F}_T^0$-measurable random vectors such that for all $\theta = (\vartheta, \sigma) \in \Psi$ and when $\delta_{n,T} \downarrow 0$,*

(i) $\lim_n \mathbb{P}_\theta(D\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}) = \emptyset) = 1$
(ii) $(\mathbb{P}_\theta) \lim_n \hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} = \hat{\vartheta}_T$
(iii) $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T = O_{\mathbb{P}_\theta}(\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}})$, $n \to +\infty$
(iv) If $(\tilde{\vartheta}_{n,T}, n \geq 1)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_T^0$-measurable sequence in Θ that satisfies $(i - ii)$ then $\lim_n \mathbb{P}_\theta(\tilde{\vartheta}_{n,T} = \hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}) = 1$.

If either for $n \geq 1$ and almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ function $\vartheta \mapsto \ell_{n,T}(\vartheta, \omega)$ has a unique point of local maximum which is a point of the global maximum as well, or the hypotheses (H5A-6A) *are satisfied, then $\hat{\theta}_{n,T}$ can be chosen to be $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}$-measurable.*

COROLLARY **4.2** *Let* (H1A-4A) *hold, $T > 0$ be fixed, and $(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T}, n \geq 1)$ be given by* (7). *Then*

(i) $(\mathbb{P}_\theta) \lim_n \hat{\sigma}_{n,T} = \sigma$;
(ii) $(\sqrt{n}\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T} - \sigma), n \geq 1)$ *converges in law w.r.t. $\mathbb{P}_\theta$ to the standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$ when $n \to +\infty$.*

Moreover, if $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}$-measurable then $\hat{\sigma}_{n,T}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}$-measurable too.

REMARK **4.3** Theorem 4.1 still holds if we replace (H1A) with the assumption that $T < \xi$ a.s. where ξ is a maximal random time such that SDE (1) has a solution on $[[0, \xi[[= \{(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, +\infty\rangle \,:\, 0 \leq t < \xi(\omega)\}$. ξ exists by assumption (H2A) and the existence and uniqueness theorem for SDEs (see e.g. [11] or [21]).

REMARK **4.4** Theorem 4.1 still holds if the drift and diffusion coefficient functions depend on time variable too (non autonomous case: $(t,x) \mapsto \mu(t, x, \vartheta)$, $\sigma b(t,x)$) in a way that assumptions (H2A) and (H3A) hold for μ and b with x and E replaced with (t,x) and $\tilde{E} = [0, +\infty\rangle \times E$ respectively.

4.2 *Ergodic diffusions case*

Let the coefficient diffusion function parameter $\sigma > 0$ be fixed. We need the following assumptions.

(H1B): (H1A) holds, and X is an ergodic diffusion with stationary distribution $\pi_\vartheta(dx)$, $\vartheta \in \Theta$.

(H2B): (H2A) holds, and for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$ functions $\mu(\cdot, \vartheta)b'/b, (b')^2, b''b \in L^{16}(\pi_\vartheta)$, $b^2 b''' \in L^8(\pi_\vartheta)$, and there exist a function $c \in L^1(\pi_\vartheta)$ and a number $h_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0<h\leq h_0} \mathbb{E}_{(\vartheta,\sigma)} \exp\left(8 \int_0^h \left(2\tfrac{\mu_0 b'}{b} + \sigma(b''b + 15b'^2)\right)(X_s)\, ds\right) \leq c(x_0).$$

(H3B): (H3A) and (H5A) hold, and there exist nonnegative functions $g_0, g_1, g_2 : E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$, $g_0 \in L^{32}(\pi_{\vartheta_0}) \cap C^1(E)$ such that $g_0' b \in L^{16}(\pi_{\vartheta_0})$, $g_1 \in L^{16}(\pi_{\vartheta_0}) \cap C(E)$, $g_2 \in L^8(\pi_{\vartheta_0}) \cap C(E)$, and for all $x \in E$ and $0 \le m \le d+3$,

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} |D_\vartheta^m \mu(x,\cdot)/b(x)|_\infty & \le & g_0(x) \\ \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} |\frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_\vartheta^m \mu(x,\cdot)|_\infty & \le & g_1(x) \\ \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} |\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_\vartheta^m \mu(x,\cdot) b(x)|_\infty & \le & g_2(x). \end{array}$$

(H4B): For all $\vartheta \in \Theta$,

$$(\forall \vartheta' \in \overline{\Theta})\ \vartheta' \neq \vartheta \Rightarrow \textstyle\int_E \frac{(\mu(x,\vartheta)-\mu(x,\vartheta'))^2}{b^2(x)}\, \pi_\vartheta(dx) > 0. \tag{11}$$

(H5B): For all $\vartheta \in \Theta$, functions $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \vartheta_i}(\cdot,\vartheta)/b$, $1 \le i \le d$, are linearly independent in $L^2(\pi_\vartheta)$.

Θ is a relatively compact set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ by assumption (H5A) since (H3B) holds. Assumptions (HB1-B3) imply that for all $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$ and $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_0,\sigma)}$-a.s.

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty} \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta) = \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\int_E \frac{\mu(x,\vartheta_0)^2 - (\mu(x,\vartheta_0)-\mu(x,\vartheta))^2}{b^2(x)}\, \pi_{\vartheta_0}(dx) =: \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta) \tag{12}$$

by ergodic property of the diffusion and the law of large numbers for continuous martingales (see e.g. [21], Chapters V and X). Function $\ell_{\vartheta_0} : \overline{\Theta} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined for every $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$ by formula (12) is at least three times continuously differentiable on compact $\overline{\Theta}$ by (H3B), and

$$\begin{array}{l} D\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta) = \textstyle\int_E \frac{(\mu(x,\vartheta_0)-\mu(x,\vartheta))}{b^2(x)} D_\vartheta \mu(x,\vartheta)\, \pi_{\vartheta_0}(dx) \\ D^2\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta) = \textstyle\int_E \left(\frac{(\mu(x,\vartheta_0)-\mu(x,\vartheta))}{b^2(x)} D_\vartheta^2 \mu(x,\vartheta) - \frac{1}{b^2(x)} (D_\vartheta^\tau \mu D_\vartheta \mu)(x,\vartheta) \right) \pi_{\vartheta_0}(dx). \end{array}$$

Hence, by the same argument as for (12), for any fixed $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}$, $\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_0,\sigma)}$-a.s.

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T} D\ell_T(\vartheta) & = & D\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta), \\ \lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T} D^2\ell_T(\vartheta) & = & D^2\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta). \end{array} \tag{13}$$

If $\vartheta \neq \vartheta_0$ then $\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta) < \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0)$ by (12), and (H4B). Hence ϑ_0 is the unique point of maximum of ℓ_{ϑ_0} on $\overline{\Theta}$. This implies identifiability property of the model: let $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \Theta$ be such that $\mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_1,\sigma)} = \mathbb{P}_{(\vartheta_2,\sigma)}$. Then $\pi_{\vartheta_1} = \pi_{\vartheta_2}$ and so $\ell_{\vartheta_1} \equiv \ell_{\vartheta_2}$ by (12). Hence $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2$. Moreover, (H5B) implies that the Fisher information matrix is positive definite, i.e.

$$I(\vartheta_0) = -D^2\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0) = \textstyle\int_E \frac{1}{b^2(x)} (D_\vartheta^\tau \mu D_\vartheta \mu)(x,\vartheta_0)\, \pi_{\vartheta_0}(dx) > \emptyset.$$

The next theorem states that the continuous-time MLE of drift parameters exists, is consistent and asymptotically efficient, and satisfies assumptions (H4A) and (H6A) a.s. for almost all observational times.

THEOREM **4.5** *Let us assume that* (H1B-5B) *hold. Then there exists an* $(\mathcal{F}_T^0, T>0)$*-adapted process* $(\hat{\vartheta}_T, T>0)$ *of random vectors such that for every* $\theta = (\vartheta,\sigma) \in \Psi$ *the following holds:*

(i) $\mathbb{P}_\theta$*-a.s. there exists* $T_0 > 0$ *such that for all* $T \ge T_0$, $\hat{\vartheta}_T \in \Theta$ *is the unique point of maximum of* ℓ_T *on* $\overline{\Theta}$*, and* $D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T) < \emptyset$ *in a way that* $\min_{|y|=1} y^\tau(-\frac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T))y \ge \frac{1}{2}\min_{|y|=1} y^\tau I(\vartheta) y$.

(*ii*) $\lim_{T\to+\infty}\hat{\vartheta}_T=\vartheta$ $\mathbb{P}_\theta$-*a.s.*
(*iii*) $(\sqrt{T}(\hat{\vartheta}_T-\vartheta),T>0)$ *converges in law w.r.t.* $\mathbb{P}_\theta$ *to normal law* $N(\emptyset,\sigma I(\vartheta)^{-1})$ *with expectation* $\emptyset$ *and covariance matrix* $\sigma I(\vartheta)^{-1}$.

The following theorem is a version of Theorem 4.1 for ergodic diffusions. In addition it states that AMLEs are consistent and asymptotically efficient when both maximal observational time and number of discrete observational time points tend to infinity for appropriate sampling schemes. Hence in its statement '$\lim_{n,T}$' denotes the limit when both $T\to+\infty$ and $n\to+\infty$.

THEOREM **4.6** *Let us assume that* (H1B-5B) *hold. Then there exists a process* $(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T};n\geq 1,T>0)$ *of* $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}$*-measurable random vectors* $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ *such that for all* $\theta=(\vartheta,\sigma)\in\Psi$ *and* π_ϑ*-a.s. nonrandom initial conditions, and all equidistant samplings such that* $\delta_{n,T}=T/n\to 0$*, the following holds.*

(*i*) $\lim_{n,T}\mathbb{P}_\theta(D\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T})=\emptyset)=1$.
(*ii*) $(\mathbb{P}_\theta)\lim_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}-\hat{\vartheta}_T)=\emptyset$,
(*iii*) $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}-\hat{\vartheta}_T=O_{\mathbb{P}_\theta}(\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}})$, $n\to+\infty$, $T\to+\infty$
(*iv*) *If* $(\tilde{\vartheta}_{n,T};n\geq 1,T>0)$ *is a process of random vectors in* Θ *that satisfies* $(i-ii)$ *then* $\lim_{n,T}\mathbb{P}_\theta(\tilde{\vartheta}_{n,T}=\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T})=1$.
(*v*) $(\mathbb{P}_\theta)\lim_{n,T}\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}=\vartheta$, *and if in addition* $\lim_{n,T}T\delta_{n,T}=0$ *then*

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\vartheta}_{T,n}-\vartheta)\overset{\mathcal{L}-\mathbb{P}_\theta}{\longrightarrow}N(\emptyset,\sigma I(\vartheta)^{-1}),\ T\to+\infty,n\to+\infty.$$

(*vi*) $(\mathbb{P}_\theta)\lim_{n,T}\sigma_{n,T}=\sigma$, *and if in addition* $\lim_{n,T}T\delta_{n,T}=0$ *then*

$$\sqrt{n}\tfrac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T}-\sigma)\overset{\mathcal{L}-\mathbb{P}_\theta}{\longrightarrow}N(0,1),\ T\to+\infty,n\to+\infty.$$

5 Example

Let the stochastic generalized logistic model be given with the following SDE:

$$dX_t=(\alpha-\beta X_t^\gamma)X_t\,dt+\sqrt{\sigma}X_t\,dW_t,\ X_0=x_0>0 \tag{14}$$

where $\vartheta=(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ $(\gamma>0)$ is a drift vector parameter. By using the methods of stochastic calculus it is possible to explicitly solve (14) that proves that there exists pathwise unique, continuous and strong solution to this SDE with X defined on $\Omega\times[0,+\infty\rangle$ and values in $E=\langle 0,+\infty\rangle$. Moreover, it turns out that for drift parameters such that $\alpha>\sigma/2$, $\beta>0$ and $\gamma>0$, generalized logistic process X is recurrent and ergodic with a such stationary distribution π_ϑ that for stationary X, X_t^γ follows Γ-distribution with parameters $A:=2(\alpha-\sigma/2)/(\gamma\sigma)$ and $B:=\gamma\sigma/(2\beta)$ (i.e. $\mathbb{E}X_t^\gamma=AB$, $\mathbb{E}(X_t^\gamma)^2=AB(B+1)$) by e.g. Theorem 7.1, pp. 219-220 in [11]. Hence, assumption (H1B) holds.

In generalized logistic model, drift function is equal $\mu(x,\vartheta)=(\alpha-\beta x^\gamma)x$, and up to the diffusion parameter $\sigma>0$, diffusion coefficient function is $b(x)=x>0$ on E. Hence $b'\equiv 1$, $bb''=b^2b'''\equiv 0$ that are trivially integrable with respect to any probability law. Let $f(x,\vartheta)=\mu(x,\vartheta)/b(x)=\alpha-\beta x^\gamma$. Notice that any partial derivatives of f with respect to ϑ are of the form $-\beta^n x^\gamma\log^m x$ where $n\in\{0,1\}$, $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Of the same forms are components of $b^k\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}D_\vartheta^m f$ for $k=1,2$. Finally, any p-th power of their absolute values (p is a positive integer) are of the form $x^c|\log x|^m$ up to a constant,

where $c > 0$ is a real number and m is a nonnegative integer. These functions are integrable with respect to π_ϑ. If we choose a relative compact Θ of drift parametric set $\langle \frac{\sigma}{2}, +\infty\rangle \times \langle 0, +\infty\rangle^2$ then there exist $\alpha_0 > \sigma/2$, $\beta_0 > 0$ and $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that for all $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}$, $x > 0$, and all $0 \le m \le 6$, $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and integers j_α, j_β, j_γ such that $j_\alpha + j_\beta + j_\gamma = m$,

$$|b^k(x)\frac{\partial^{m+k}}{\partial^k x \partial\alpha^{j_\alpha}\partial\beta^{j_\beta}\partial\gamma^{j_\gamma}} f(x,\vartheta)| \le g(x) := \alpha_0 + \beta_0 x^{\gamma_0}(1 + \log^2 x + \log^4 x + \log^6 x).$$

Then $g \in L^p(\pi_\vartheta) \cap C^1(E)$ for all $p \ge 1$ and $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}$ which implies partially (H2B) and (H3B) by simple calculation (see the proof of Corollary 6.13 below). To finish the proof of (H2B) notice that for all $h_0 > 0$, and all $0 < h \le h_0$,

$$\exp(16\int_0^h ((\alpha - \beta X_t^\gamma + \tfrac{15\sigma}{2})\,dt) \le \exp((16\alpha_0 + 120\sigma)h_0) = c(x_0) = \text{constant}$$

since $X_t > 0$ for all $t \ge 0$ and $\beta > 0$. This implies the same inequalities for expectations with respect to any initial conditions $X_0 = x_0$. Hence (H2B) is proved.

To show that (H4B) holds, let us assume that

$$\int_E (\mu(x,\vartheta_1) - \mu(x,\vartheta_2))^2 / b^2(x)\pi_{\vartheta_1}(dx) = 0$$

for some $\vartheta_1 \in \Theta$ and $\vartheta_2 \in \overline{\Theta}$. Since π_{ϑ_1} is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lesbegues measure λ on E, this implies that $\mu(x,\vartheta_1) = \mu(x,\vartheta_2)$ for a.s. $x > 0$ w.r.t. λ. Hence, smooth function $u(x) := \beta_1 x^{\gamma_1} - \beta_2 x^{\gamma_2}$ must be a constant function for λ-a.s. $x > 0$. This implies that $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ and hence $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2$. This proves (H4B).

Finally, (H5B) holds since $\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\mu(x,\vartheta) = 1$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\mu(x,\vartheta) = -x^\gamma$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma}\mu(x,\vartheta) = -\beta x^\gamma \log x$ are obviously linearly independent functions in $L^2(\pi_\vartheta)$.

6 Proofs

Basically the proof of Theorem 4.1 is based on the so-called general theorem on approximate maximum likelihood estimation and its corollary that are stated and proved in [15] as Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2. The proof of Theorem 4.6 is a modification of the proof of the same theorem based on Theorem 4.5. But first we need to state and prove Theorem 6.1, and its Corollaries 6.11 and 6.13 that are needed in applying the general theorem in this context. Proofs of some technical lemmas are in Appendix.

Let us suppose that $X = (X_t, t \ge 0)$ is a diffusion satisfying (H1A-2A) with true parameter $\theta_0 = (\vartheta_0, \sigma) \in \Theta$, and such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0) = 1$ for $x_0 \in E$. Here $\mathbb{P} \equiv \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$, $\mathbb{E} \equiv \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}$ and $L^2 \equiv L^2(\mathbb{P})$. Denote $\mu_0 = \mu(\cdot, \vartheta_0)$, $\nu = \sqrt{\sigma}b$, $\mathcal{A}a := a'\mu_0 + a''\nu^2/2$, and $\bar{a} := |\mathcal{A}a| + |a'\nu|$ for $a \in C^2(E)$.

THEOREM **6.1** *Let $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open convex set, and let $f : E \times \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$, $a : E \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ be subdivisions of intervals $[0, T]$, $T > 0$, such that $\delta_{n,T} \downarrow 0$. Assume the following:*

(B1): *$a \in C^2(E)$ and there exist constants $C_a > 0$, $T_a \ge 0$, and $n_a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(\forall\, T > T_a)(\forall n \ge n_a)\ \ \tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T (a^4 + \bar{a}^4)(X_t))dt + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a^4(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t\right) \le C_a.$$

(B2): *For all $\vartheta \in \Theta$, $f(\cdot,\vartheta) \in C^2(E)$, and for all $(x,\vartheta) \in E \times \Theta$ and $1 \le m \le d+1$ there exists partial derivatives $D_\vartheta^m f(x,\vartheta)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}D_\vartheta^m f(x,\vartheta)$, and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}D_\vartheta^m f(x,\vartheta)$. Moreover,*

$$(\forall\, 0 \le m \le d+1)\ \ D_\vartheta^m f,\ \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x}D_\vartheta^m f,\ \tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}D_\vartheta^m f \in C(E \times \Theta).$$

(B3): *For any relatively compact set $\mathcal{K}$ in Θ there exist: a positive measurable function $g : E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $0 \le m \le d+1$,*

$$\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}} \left(|D^m_\vartheta f(\cdot,\vartheta)|_\infty + |\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x} D^m_\vartheta f(\cdot,\vartheta)|_\infty (|\mu_0| + |\nu|) + |\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D^m_\vartheta f(\cdot,\vartheta)\nu^2|_\infty \right) \le g,$$

and constants $C_g > 0$, $T_g \ge 0$, and $n_g \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall\, T > T_g)(\forall n \ge n_g)\ \ \tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T g^4(X_t)\,dt + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g^4(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t\right) &\le C_g \ \& \\ \tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left((ga)^4(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t + g^4(X_{t_i})\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}(a^4+\bar{a}^4)(X_t)\,dt\right) &\le C_g. \end{aligned}$$

(B4): *There exist: a measurable function $c : E \to \mathbb{R}$ and constants $h_0 > 0$, $C_c > 0$, $T_c \ge 0$, and $n_c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $r := |\frac{\mu_0 b'}{b}| + |b''b| + |b'|$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0<h\le h_0} \mathbb{E}\exp\left(8\int_0^h \left(2\tfrac{\mu_0 b'}{b} + \sigma(b''b + 15b'^2)\right)(X_s)\,ds\right) &\le c(x_0), \\ (\forall\, T > T_c)(\forall n \ge n_c)\ \ \tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t + \int_0^T r^8(X_t)dt\right) &\le C_c. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$, $T_0 \ge 0$, and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, possible dependent on $\mathcal{K}$, d, and a, such that for all $T > T_0$, and $n \ge n_0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}}\left(\frac{1}{T\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}(f(X_t,\vartheta) - f(X_{t_i},\vartheta))a(X_t)\,dt\right)^2 \le C_1 \qquad (15)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T\delta_{n,T}}}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}(f(X_t,\vartheta) - f(X_{t_i},\vartheta))a(X_t)\,dW_t\right)^2 \le C_1 \qquad (16)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}}\left(\frac{1}{T\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(X_{t_i},\vartheta)\left(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1\right)a(X_t)\,dt\right)^2 \le C_2 \qquad (17)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T\delta_{n,T}}}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(X_{t_i},\vartheta)\left(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1\right)a(X_t)\,dW_t\right)^2 \le C_2 \qquad (18)$$

REMARK **6.2** If function f that satisfies (B2) and all its partial derivatives from (B2) are bounded on $E \times \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ then (B3) holds if a is bounded too. Similarly if $a \in C^2(E)$ is bounded then satisfies (B1). If in addition μ_0, b, b', and b'' are bounded then (B4) holds for constant function $c \equiv \exp(\gamma h_0)$ where $\gamma > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ are constants. In this case the statements of Theorem 6.1 hold for $T_0 = 0$, and hence for all $T > 0$ obviously from the proof of Theorem 6.1.

For a moment let us assume that $\mathcal{K} = \prod_{i=1}^d \langle a_i, b_i\rangle$ is an open and bounded d-dimensional rectangular in Θ. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon := \prod_{i=1}^d \langle a_i - \varepsilon, b_i + \varepsilon\rangle$ is an open and bounded d-rectangular in Θ too. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞-function such that $\phi \equiv 1$ on $\mathcal{K}$ and $\phi \equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{K}^c_\varepsilon$. Such a function exists (see e.g. [4], Lemma IV.4.4, p. 176). Then function $(x,\vartheta) \mapsto \tilde{f}(x,\vartheta) := f(x,\vartheta)\cdot\phi(\vartheta)$ satisfies (B2-3) if f satisfies the same assumption (with rescaled function g). Namely, $\tilde{f} \equiv f$ on $E \times \mathcal{K}$ and $\tilde{f} \equiv 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$. The same holds for all partial derivatives of $\tilde{f}$ that exist, and $\tilde{f}$ satisfies (B1) obviously. Since ϕ and all of its derivatives are bounded, $\tilde{f}$ satisfies (B3) too with Cg instead of g with a constant C depending on ϕ. Obviously, statements (15-18) hold for a function f that satisfies (B2-3), and a rectangular $\mathcal{K}$ if (15-18) hold for $\tilde{f}$ and the rectangular $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon$. Moreover, notice that if (15-18) hold for an arbitrary

open and bounded d-dimensional rectangular $\mathcal{K}$, then the same statements hold for every relatively compact set in Θ. Hence it is sufficient to prove (15-18) for an open and bounded d-dimensional rectangular $\mathcal{K} \subset \Theta$, and a function f satisfying (B2-3) and the following additional assumption.

(B $\mathcal{K}$): For all $x \in E$ and all $0 \le m \le d+1$, $D_\vartheta^m f(x,\cdot) \equiv \emptyset$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_\vartheta^m f(x,\cdot) \equiv \emptyset$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_\vartheta^m f(x,\cdot) \equiv \emptyset$ on $\partial\mathcal{K}$.

Moreover, let A be an invertible affine mapping of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and let f be a function on $E \times \Theta$ that satisfies (B2-3) and (B $\mathcal{K}$). Then the function $\bar{f}$ defined on $E \times A(\Theta)$ by the rule $\bar{f}(x,\eta) := f(x, A^{-1}\eta)$, satisfies (B2-3) and (B $A\mathcal{K}$) too. Since the left hand side of (15-18) do not change by the change of variable $\vartheta \mapsto \eta = A\vartheta$, it is sufficient to prove (15-18) for $\mathcal{K}_0 := \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle^d$ and a function f that satisfies (B2-3) and (B $\mathcal{K}_0$).

Now, let f be a function satisfying (B2-3) and (B $\mathcal{K}_0$). For $x \in E$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_d) \in \Theta$, and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_d)$ where j_1,..., j_d are nonnegative integers such that $m := j_1 + \cdots + j_d \le d+1$, let us define Fourier coefficients of f by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbf{k}}(x) &:= \tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \textstyle\int_{\overline{\mathcal{K}}_0} f(x,\vartheta) e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k} | \vartheta \rangle} d\vartheta, \\ C_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mathbf{j})}(x) &:= \tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \textstyle\int_{\overline{\mathcal{K}}_0} \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial \vartheta_1^{j_1} \cdots \partial \vartheta_d^{j_d}}(x,\vartheta) e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k} | \vartheta \rangle} d\vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{j}} := k_1^{j_1} \cdots k_d^{j_d}$. Since (B $\mathcal{K}_0$) holds, it is well known that $C_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mathbf{j})}(x) = i^m \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{j}} C_{\mathbf{k}}(x)$ for each fixed $x \in E$ (see e.g. [23], pp. 177-178). This relation is used in the proof of the next few lemmas (see Appendix).

LEMMA **6.3** *Let $x, y \in E$. Then for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,*

$$|C_{\mathbf{k}}(x)| \le g(x) \left(\tfrac{d+1}{1+|k_1|+\cdots+|k_d|} \right)^{d+1}.$$

LEMMA **6.4** *Let $f \in C(E)$. Then for all $0 \le t_0 < t$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\Big(\textstyle\int_{t_0}^t f(X_s)\, dW_s \Big)^4 &\le 3e^{3(t-t_0)} \mathbb{E} \textstyle\int_{t_0}^t f^4(X_s)\, ds \le \\ &\le 24(e^{3(t-t_0)} \mathbb{E} \textstyle\int_{t_0}^t (f(X_s) - f(X_{t_0}))^4\, ds + \mathbb{E}[f^4(X_{t_0})](t-t_0)^2). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA **6.5** *Let* (B4) *hold. If $c_0 := (1+c)/2$ then*

$$(\forall t \ge 0) \quad \sup_{0<h\le h_0} \mathbb{E} \left(\tfrac{b(X_{t+h})}{b(X_t)} \right)^8 \le \mathbb{E}\, c_0(X_t). \tag{19}$$

LEMMA **6.6** *There exist constants $K_1 > 0$, $K_2 > 0$, $T_0 \ge 0$, and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on $\mathcal{K}_0$, g and a, and such that for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $T > T_0$, $n \ge n_0$ and subdivisions $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ (with $\delta_{n,T} \downarrow 0$) the following hold:*

$$\left\| \frac{1}{T\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})) a(X_t)\, dt \right\|_{L^2} \le K_1 \cdot K_{\mathbf{k}} \tag{20}$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{T\delta_{n,T}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})) a(X_t)\, dW_t \right\|_{L^2} \le K_1 \cdot K_{\mathbf{k}} \tag{21}$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{T\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}) \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1 \right) a(X_t)\, dt \right\|_{L^2} \le K_2 \cdot K_{\mathbf{k}} \tag{22}$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{T\delta_{n,T}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}) \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1 \right) a(X_t)\, dW_t \right\|_{L^2} \le K_2 \cdot K_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{23}$$

where $K_{\mathbf{k}} := ((d+1)/(1+|k_1|+\cdots+|k_d|))^{d+1}$.

Let $S_N(x,\vartheta) := \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|\le N} C_{\mathbf{k}}(x) e^{i\langle \mathbf{k}|\vartheta\rangle}$ for $x \in E$, $\vartheta \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_0$ and N be a positive integer. Then it can be proved that $\lim_N |S_N(x,\vartheta) - f(x,\vartheta)| = 0$ uniformly in $\vartheta \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_0$ by the methods of Fourier analysis (see e.g. [23], pp. 180-183).

LEMMA **6.7** $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |C_{\mathbf{k}}(x)| \le Kg(x)$, *and* $\sup_{N,\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}_0} |S_N(x,\vartheta) - f(x,\vartheta)| \le Kg(x)$ *for a positive and finite constant*

$$K = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\frac{d+1}{1+|k_1|+\cdots+|k_d|}\right)^{d+1}. \tag{24}$$

LEMMA **6.8** *Let* $a \in C^1(E)$ *and let* f *be a function that satisfies* (B2). *Then for a.s.* $\omega \in \Omega$, *function* $\vartheta \mapsto \int_0^T f(X_t,\vartheta) a(X_t)\, dW_t(\omega)$ *is continuous on* Θ.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let us prove (16) and (18). The proofs of (15) and (17) go in the same way but we have to obtain expressions of form (25) below with respect to Lesbegues' instead of Winner's integral, and to apply Lemma 6.6 (20) and (22). Without loosing generality let us assume that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0 = \prod_{i=1}^d \langle -\pi, \pi\rangle$ and let f satisfy (B2-3) and (B $\mathcal{K}_0$). For fixed $\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}_0$, $T > 0$ and a subdivision $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ we define the following processes:

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &:= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (f(X_t,\vartheta) - f(X_{t_i},\vartheta)) a(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\langle t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t),\ t \in [0,T], \\ U_t^{(N)} &:= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (S_N(X_t,\vartheta) - S_N(X_{t_i},\vartheta)) a(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\langle t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t),\ t \in [0,T],\ \ N \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &:= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(X_{t_i},\vartheta) \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1\right) a(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\langle t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t),\ t \in [0,T], \\ V_t^{(N)} &:= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_N(X_{t_i},\vartheta) \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1\right) a(X_t) \mathbb{1}_{\langle t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t),\ t \in [0,T],\ \ N \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

Then $\lim_N |U_t^{(N)} - U_t| = 0$, $\lim_N |V_t^{(N)} - V_t| = 0$, and $\sup_N |U_t^{(N)} - U_t| \le K^2(g^2(X_t) + g^2(X_{t_i})) + a^2(X_t)/2$, $\sup_N |V_t^{(N)} - V_t| \le (K/2) g^2(X_{t_i})\, a^2(X_t) + 2(b(X_t)/b(X_{t_i}))^2 + 2$, for $t \in \langle t_i, t_{i+1}]$ by Lemma 6.7. Since (B1-4) hold and hence Lemma 6.5 holds there exist $T_1 \ge 0$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $T > T_1$, $n \ge n_1$ integrals $\int_0^T g^2(X_t)\, dW_t$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g^2(X_{t_i}) \Delta_i W$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g^2(X_{t_i}) \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} a^2(X_t)\, dW_t$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (b(X_t)/b(X_{t_i}))^2\, dW_t$, and $\int_0^T a^2(X_t)\, dW_t$ are well defined, and so

$$\begin{aligned} I_N(\vartheta) &:= \textstyle\int_0^T U_t^{(N)}\, dW_t \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^T U_t\, dW_t =: I(\vartheta),\ N \to +\infty, \\ J_N(\vartheta) &:= \textstyle\int_0^T V_t^{(N)}\, dW_t \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^T V_t\, dW_t =: J(\vartheta),\ N \to +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

by the dominated convergence theorem for stochastic integrals (see e.g. [21], Theorem (2.12), pp. 134-135).

First, let us consider sequence $(I_N(\vartheta))$. For every $\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}_0 \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$ there exists a subsequence $(N_p) \equiv (N_p(\vartheta))$ and an event $A(\vartheta)$ of the probability 1 such that for all $\omega \in A(\vartheta)$, $\lim_p I_{N_p}(\vartheta)(\omega) = I(\vartheta)(\omega)$. Let us recall that

$$\begin{aligned} I_N(\vartheta) &= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (S_N(X_t,\vartheta) - S_N(X_{t_i},\vartheta)) a(X_t)\, dW_t,\ \ N \in \mathbb{N}, \\ I(\vartheta) &= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (f(X_t,\vartheta) - f(X_{t_i},\vartheta)) a(X_t)\, dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Omega_0 := \cap_{\vartheta\in\mathcal{K}_0\cap\mathbb{Q}^d} A(\vartheta)$. Then on this event of probability 1, for all $\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}_0 \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} |I(\vartheta)| &\leq |I(\vartheta) - I_{N_p(\vartheta)}(\vartheta)| + |I_{N_p(\vartheta)}(\vartheta)| \leq |I(\vartheta) - I_{N_p(\vartheta)}(\vartheta)| + \\ &\quad + \textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))a(X_t)\, dW_t|. \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit when $p \to +\infty$, we get the following inequality:

$$|I(\vartheta)| \leq \textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))a(X_t)\, dW_t|.$$

Since $\vartheta \mapsto I(\vartheta)$ is a continuous function by Lemma 6.8, it turns out that $\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}_0} |I(\vartheta)| = \sup_{\vartheta\in\mathcal{K}_0\cap\mathbb{Q}^d} |I(\vartheta)|$, and so $\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}_0} |I(\vartheta)|$ is a random variable. Hence

$$\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}_0} |I(\vartheta)| \leq \textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))a(X_t)\, dW_t\right| \text{ a.s.} \tag{25}$$

Since there exist $T_0 \geq T_1$ and $n_0 \geq n_1$ such that for all $T > T_0$, $n \geq n_0$ and subdivisions of $[0, T]$ with $\delta_{n,T} \downarrow 0$,

$$\textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))a(X_t)\, dW_t\|_{L^2} \leq K_1 K \sqrt{T\delta_{n,T}},$$

by Lemma 6.6 and (24), the series on the righthand side of (25) converges a.s. and in L^2-norm to a.s. equal limits (see Proposition 2.10.1. in [5], p. 68). Hence $\| \sup_{\vartheta\in\mathcal{K}_0} |I(\vartheta)|\|_{L^2} \leq C_1\sqrt{T\delta_{n,T}}$ for $C_1 := K_1 K$. That proves (16). The proof of (18) goes in a similar way considering sequence $(J_N(\vartheta))$. □

We need following lemma for proving consistency and asymptotic normality of diffusion coefficient parameter estimator.

LEMMA **6.9** *Let* (B4) *hold, and let* $b \in C^3(E)$. *Moreover, let there exist constants* $C_b > 0$ *and* $T_b \geq 0$ *such that*

$$(\forall T > T_b)\ \tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\textstyle(\int_0^T ((b^2 b''')^2 + r^{16})(X_t)\, dt + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r^4(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t) \leq C_b.$$

Then there exist constants $C > 0$, $T_0 \geq 0$, *and* $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, *such that for all* $T > T_0$, *and* $n \geq n_0$,

$$\tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left|\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Delta_i t}\left(\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}\, dW_t\right)^2 - (\Delta_i W)^2\right)\right| \leq C.$$

REMARK **6.10** If b and its derivatives up to the third order are bounded then the statement of Lemma 6.9 hold for all $T > T_0 = 0$ by the same arguments as in Remark 6.2.

6.1 *Fixed maximal observational time case*

Let $T > 0$ be fixed, and let $0 = t_0 < \cdots t_n = T$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be subdivisions of $[0, T]$ such that $\delta_{n,T} = \max_{0\leq i\leq n-1} \Delta_i t \downarrow 0$ when $n \to +\infty$. We need the next corollary to Theorem 6.1.

COROLLARY **6.11** *Let* X *be a diffusion such that* (H1A-4A) *hold and let* $\mathcal{K} \subset \Theta$ *be a relatively compact set. Then for all* $\theta_0 = (\vartheta_0, \sigma) \in \Psi$, $T > 0$, *and* $r = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}} |D^r \ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - D^r \ell_T(\vartheta)| = O_{\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}}(\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}),\ \ n \to +\infty. \tag{26}$$

Proof of Corollary 6.11. We prove (26) for $r = 0$. Statement (26) for cases $r = 1$ and $r = 2$ can be proved similarly. Let $\theta_0 = (\vartheta_0, \sigma) \in \Psi$ be arbitrary, and let $\mu_0 := \mu(\cdot, \vartheta_0)$. Moreover, let $f(\cdot, \vartheta) := \mu(\cdot, \vartheta)/b$, $\vartheta \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$, and $f_0 := \mu_0/b$. Then for any n,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \ell_T(\vartheta) = \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b^2(X_{t_i})} - \frac{\mu(X_t,\vartheta)}{b^2(X_t)}\right) dX_t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\mu^2(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b^2(X_{t_i})} - \frac{\mu^2(X_t,\vartheta)}{b^2(X_t)}\right) dt = \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \Big((f(X_t,\vartheta) - f(X_{t_i},\vartheta)) f_0(X_t) + f(X_{t_i},\vartheta) \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1\right) f_0(X_t) \Big)\, dt + \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\sigma} \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \Big((f(X_t,\vartheta) - f(X_{t_i},\vartheta)) + f(X_{t_i},\vartheta) \left(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1\right) \Big)\, dW_t - \\
&\quad - \tfrac{1}{2} \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \Big(f^2(X_t,\vartheta) - f^2(X_{t_i},\vartheta) \Big)\, dt
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

by the definitions of ℓ_T and $\ell_{n,T}$, and (1).

Let us assume for a moment that functions f_0, b, b', b'', are bounded on E, and f and its partial derivatives $D_\vartheta^m f$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_\vartheta^m f$, and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} D_\vartheta^m f$ are bounded on $E \times \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ for $0 \le m \le d+1$. Then f and f^2 satisfy condition (B2) from Theorem 6.1, and f_0 and a constant function 1 satisfy (B1), since (H2A-3A) hold. Hence, by Remark 6.2 the statements of Theorem 6.1 holds for these functions, and any $T > 0$. By applying this conclusion to (27), the following holds:

$$\| \sup_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}} |\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \ell_T(\vartheta)| \|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0})} \le C \sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}, \tag{28}$$

for any $T > 0$ and subdivisions of $[0, T]$ with $\delta_{n,T} \le h_0$, and a constant $C > 0$ which depends on T, X and $\mathcal{K}$.

Now, let X, μ and b satisfy assumptions (H1A-3A), and let x_0 be the initial state of X. Moreover, let $(E_m, m \ge 1)$ be a sequence of open and bounded subintervals of E such that for all m, $\overline{E}_m \subset E_{m+1}$, $x_0 \in E_1$, and $\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} E_m = E$, and let $(\phi_m, m \ge 1)$ be a sequence of C^∞-functions on E such that for all m, $0 \le \phi_m \le 1$, $\phi_m(x) = 1$ for $x \in \overline{E}_m$ and $\phi_m \equiv 0$ on E_{m+1}^c. Let us define the following bounded functions for each m: $\mu_m(x, \vartheta) := \phi_m(x)\mu(x, \vartheta)$, $(x, \vartheta) \in E \times \Theta$, $b_m(x) := \phi_m(x) b(x) + c_m(1 - \phi_m(x))$, $x \in E$ where $c_m := \operatorname{sign} b \cdot \max_{x \in \overline{E}_{m+1}} |b(x)|$. Since μ and b satisfy (HA2-A3), $b_m \in C^2(E)$, and b_m, b_m', b_m'' are bounded on E, and $(x, \vartheta) \mapsto \mu_m(x, \vartheta)/b(x)$, $\mu_m^2(x, \vartheta)/b^2(x)$ satisfy (B2) and are bounded on $E \times \overline{\mathcal{K}}$, and hence satisfy (B3) too, for each m. Moreover, let $\tau_m := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t \in E_m^c\}$, $m \ge 1$. Since X is a continuous process, $(\tau_m, m \ge 1)$ is an increasing sequence of stopping times (see [21]) such that $\tau_m \uparrow +\infty$ a.s., when $m \to +\infty$.

Let m be fixed and let diffusion $X^m = (X_t^m; t \ge 0)$ be defined as solution to SDE:

$$X_t^m = x_0 + \textstyle\int_0^t \mu_m(X_s^m, \vartheta_0)\, ds + \sqrt{\sigma} \int_0^t b_m(X_s^m)\, dW_s,\ t > 0.$$

By Theorem V.11.2 in [22] (Vol. 2, p. 128) such a diffusion exists and is a.s. unique. Moreover, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, \tau_m(\omega)]$, $X_t(\omega) = X_t^m(\omega)$ by Corollary V.11.10 in [22] (Vol. 2, p. 131). This implies (see [25]) that for an arbitrary number $A > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{\sup_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}} |\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \ell_T(\vartheta)| > A\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}\} \le \\
&\le \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{\tau_m \le T\} + \frac{1}{A\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}} \| \sup_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{K}} |\ell_{n,T}^m(\vartheta) - \ell_T^m(\vartheta)| \|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0})},
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where ℓ_T^m and $\ell_{n,T}^m$ are LLF (9) and its Euler approximation (5) respectively, both based on diffusion X^m with drift $\mu_m(\cdot, \vartheta_0)$, and diffusion coefficient function $\sqrt{\sigma} b_m$. Now, (28) holds for functions ℓ_T^m and $\ell_{n,T}^m$ with constant $C = C_m$. Hence the righthand side of (29) is dominated by expression $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{\tau_m \le T\} + \frac{1}{A} C_m$. First, let us take a limit when

$n \to +\infty$, and then when $A \to +\infty$. Next, we take a limit when $m \to +\infty$, and hence we prove (26). □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We need to show that the model and random functions ℓ_T and $\ell_{n,T}$, $n \geq 1$, for fixed $T > 0$, satisfy conditions (A1-5) of Theorem 3.1 of [15]. Let $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}$ be σ-subalgebras of $\mathcal{F}_T^0$ that are introduced in Section 4. We recall from the same section that ℓ_T is a $\mathcal{F}_T^0 \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$-measurable function. In the same way, $\ell_{n,T}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{n,T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$-measurable, for each n. Hence (A1) is satisfied. Corollary 6.11 implies that functions ℓ_T and $\ell_{n,T}$, $n \geq 1$, satisfy (A3). The same corollary and (H5A) imply (A4) and (A5). Condition (A2) is the same as assumption (H4A). Hence by Theorem 3.1 of [15] there exists a sequence of $\mathcal{F}_T^0$-measurable random vectors $(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}, n \geq 1)$ such that the statements of Theorem 4.1 hold. □

For proving Corollary 4.2 we need the following lemma.

LEMMA **6.12** *Let* (H1A-2A) *hold, and $T > 0$ be fixed. Then for $\theta = (\vartheta, \sigma) \in \Psi$,*

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)\Delta_i t)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} - \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t} = O_{\mathbb{P}_\theta}(1), \quad n \to +\infty. \tag{30}$$

Proof of Corollary 4.2. Notice that (ii) implies the consistency (i.e. (i)) of $\hat{\sigma}_n$. Let us prove (ii). Since

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T} - \sigma) = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T} - \tfrac{\sigma}{n} \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t}) + \sigma\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2 - \Delta_i t}{\Delta_i t} \tag{31}$$

and $(\mathcal{L}) \lim_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2 - \Delta_i t}{\Delta_i t} = N(0,1)$, for (ii) to hold it is sufficient to prove that for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_n \mathbb{P}_\theta\{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T} - \tfrac{\sigma}{n} \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t}) \geq \epsilon\} = 0. \tag{32}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ be any numbers and let $\mathcal{K}$ be a relatively compact set in Θ. If $\mathcal{K} + \eta := \{\vartheta \in \Theta : (\exists \vartheta' \in \mathcal{K})\, |\vartheta - \vartheta'| < \eta\}$ then on event

$$\begin{aligned} A = \{&|\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)\Delta_i t)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} - \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t})| < \tfrac{\epsilon}{5},\ \hat{\vartheta}_T \in \mathcal{K}\} \cap \\ \cap\{&|\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| < \eta,\ |\ell_T(\vartheta) - \ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T)| < \sqrt{n}\tfrac{\epsilon}{10},\ |\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \ell_T(\vartheta)| < \sqrt{n}\tfrac{\epsilon}{10}\} \cap \\ \cap\{&\sup_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{K}+\eta} |D\ell_T(\vartheta')| < \tfrac{\sqrt{n}}{\eta}\tfrac{\epsilon}{10},\ \sup_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{K}+\eta} |\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta') - \ell_T(\vartheta')| < \sqrt{n}\tfrac{\epsilon}{10}\}, \end{aligned}$$

the following holds: $|\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T} - \frac{\sigma}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t})| < \epsilon$. This implies that $A \subseteq \{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T} - \frac{\sigma}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t}) < \epsilon\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\theta\{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_{n,T} - \tfrac{\sigma}{n} \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t}) \geq \epsilon\} \leq \\ \leq\ & \mathbb{P}_\theta\{|\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)\Delta_i t)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} - \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t})| \geq \tfrac{1}{5}\epsilon\} + \\ & + \mathbb{P}_\theta\{\hat{\vartheta}_T \in \mathcal{K}^c\} + \mathbb{P}_\theta\{|\ell_T(\vartheta) - \ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T)| \geq \sqrt{n}\tfrac{\epsilon}{10}\} + \mathbb{P}_\theta\{|\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| \geq \eta\} + \\ & + \mathbb{P}_\theta\{\sup_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{K}+\eta} |D\ell_T(\vartheta')| \geq \tfrac{\sqrt{n}}{\eta}\tfrac{\epsilon}{10}\} + \mathbb{P}_\theta\{|\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \ell_T(\vartheta)| \geq \sqrt{n}\tfrac{\epsilon}{10}\} + \\ & + \mathbb{P}_\theta\{\sup_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{K}+\eta} |\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta') - \ell_T(\vartheta')| \geq \sqrt{n}\tfrac{\epsilon}{10}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.12, Corollary 6.11, property (ii) of $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ from Theorem 4.1, and arbitrariness of $\mathcal{K}$, (32) follows. □

6.2 *Ergodic case*

For all $T>0$ let $0=t_0<\cdots<t_n=T$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, be equidistant subdivisions of $[0,T]$ such that $\delta_{n,T}=T/n\to 0$ when $T\to+\infty$ and $n\to+\infty$. We need the following corollary to Theorem 6.1.

COROLLARY **6.13** *Let X be a diffusion such that* (H1B-3B) *hold. Then for all $\theta_0=(\vartheta_0,\sigma)\in\Psi$, π_{ϑ_0}-a.s. nonrandom initial conditions, and $r=0,1,2$,*

$$\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\Theta}}\left|\tfrac{1}{T}D^r\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta)-\tfrac{1}{T}D^r\ell_T(\vartheta)\right|=O_{\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}}(\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}),\ \ T\to+\infty,\ n\to+\infty. \tag{33}$$

Proof of Corollary 6.13. Similarly to the proof of Corolarlly 6.11 it is sufficient to prove (33) for $r=0$ since the statement of the corollary for cases $r=1$ and $r=2$ can be proved in the same way. Let $\theta_0=(\vartheta_0,\sigma)\in\Psi$ be arbitrary, and let $\mu_0:=\mu(\cdot,\vartheta_0)$, $\nu:=\sqrt{\sigma}b$, and $\mathbb{P}\equiv\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$, $\mathbb{E}\equiv\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}$. Let us recall expression (27) from the proof of Corolarlly 6.11 where $f(\cdot,\vartheta)=\mu(\cdot,\vartheta)/b$, $\vartheta\in\overline{\Theta}$, and $f_0=\mu_0/b$. Notice that f and f^2 satisfy (B2) since (H2A-3A) hold by (H2B-3B). Let us show that f_0 satisfies (B1) and f satisfies (B3) with respect to $a\equiv f_0$ and compact $\overline{\Theta}$, and that f^2 satisfies (B3) with respect to constant function $a\equiv 1$ and the same compact (notice that constant function trivially satisfies (B1)). If we fix $\vartheta\in\overline{\Theta}$, m such that $0\le m\le d+1$, and nonnegative integers j_1,..., j_d such that $j_1+\cdots+j_d=m$ then let $\tilde{f}:=\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\cdots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}}f(\cdot,\vartheta)$, and $\tilde{\mu}:=\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\cdots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}}\mu(\cdot,\vartheta)$. By (H3A), $\tilde{f},\tilde{\mu}\in C^2(E)$. Since (H3B) holds it follows that $|\tilde{f}|\le g_0\in L^{32}(\pi_{\vartheta_0})\subset L^8(\pi_{\vartheta_0})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{f}'b| &= |\tilde{\mu}'-\tilde{f}b'|\le g_1+g_0|b'|=:g_{01}\in L^{16}(\pi_{\vartheta_0})\subset L^8(\pi_{\vartheta_0})\\
|\tilde{f}'\mu_0| &= |(\tilde{f}'b)f_0|\le g_{01}g_1=:g_{02}\in L^8(\pi_{\vartheta_0})\\
|\tilde{f}''b^2| &= |\tilde{\mu}''b-2(\tilde{f}'b)b'-f(b''b)|\le g_2+2g_{01}|b'|+g_0|b''b|=:g_{03}\in L^8(\pi_{\vartheta_0})
\end{aligned}$$

by (H2B-3B). Then function $g_{00}:=g_0+\sqrt{\sigma}g_{01}+g_{02}+\sigma g_{03}$ is such that $g_{00}\in L^8(\pi_{\vartheta_0})\subset L^4(\pi_{\vartheta_0})$ and

$$\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\Theta}}\left(|D_\vartheta^m f(\cdot,\vartheta)|_\infty+|\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x}D_\vartheta^m f(\cdot,\vartheta)|_\infty(|\mu_0|+|\nu|)+|\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}D_\vartheta^m f(\cdot,\vartheta)\nu^2|_\infty\right)\le g_{00}$$

for all $0\le m\le d+1$. This implies that f satisfies the first part of (B3) with $g\equiv g_{00}$. This also implies that $|f_0|+|\bar{f}_0|\le g_{00}$ and hence f_0, $\bar{f}_0\in L^8(\pi_{\vartheta_0})$. By Chacon-Ornstein theorem, ergodic theorem for additive functionals and its corollary (e.g. Theorem (A.5.2) on p. 504, Theorem (X.3.12) on p. 397, and Exercise (X.3.18) on p. 399 in [21]), for π_{ϑ_0}-a.s. initial values $x_0\in E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{T\to+\infty}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{T}\int_0^T f_0^8(X_t)\,dt)=\lim_{n,T}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{T}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}f_0^8(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t)=\\
=\ &\lim_n\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{n}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}f_0^8(X_{t_i}))=\int_E f_0^8(x)\pi_{\vartheta_0}(dx)\le\int_E g_{00}^8(x)\pi_{\vartheta_0}(dx)<+\infty
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

since (H1B) holds, and subdivisions are equidistant ($\Delta_i t=T/n$ for each i). Moreover, since $f_0\in L^4(\pi_{\vartheta_0})$ too, the same holds for 4th powers of f_0, i.e. if we substitute f_0^4 instead of f_0^8 in (34). Finally, the both conclusions hold for $\bar{f}_0$ too. Hence f_0 satisfies (B1). It remains to show that g_{00} satisfies the limiting properties from (B3). Using the same arguments as in proving (34) it follows that (34) holds for 8th and hence for 4th power of g_{00}. Moreover, since $f_0,g_{00}\in L^8(\pi_{\vartheta_0})$ implies $f_0g_{00}\in L^4(\pi_{\vartheta_0})$, and (34) (with respect to $\bar{f}_0$ and g_{00} too) holds, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n,T}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{T}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(f_0g_{00})^4(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t)=\textstyle\int_E(f_0g_{00})^4(x)\pi_{\vartheta_0}(dx)<+\infty,\\
&\overline{\lim}_{n,T}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{T}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}g_{00}^4(X_{t_i})\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}(f_0^4+\bar{f}_0^4)(X_t)\,dt\le\\
\le\ &\tfrac{1}{2}\lim_{n,T}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{T}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}g_{00}^8(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t)+\lim_{T\to+\infty}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{T}\int_0^T(f_0^8+\bar{f}_0^8)(X_t)dt)<+\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence f satisfies (B3) for π_{ϑ_0}-a.s. nonrandom initial conditions. It remains to show that f^2 satisfies (B3) with respect to function $a \equiv 1$. Let $g := 7 \cdot 2^{d+1} g_{00}^2 \in L^4(\pi_{\vartheta_0})$. Notice that uniformly with respect to $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}$,

$$|f^2| + |\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x}(f^2)| + |\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(f^2)| \leq |f^2| + 2|f\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x} f| + 2|\left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x} f\right)^2 + f\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f| \leq 7 g_{00}^2 \leq g.$$

Let us put $\hat{f} := \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \vartheta_1^{j_1} \cdots \partial \vartheta_d^{j_d}}(f^2)(\cdot, \vartheta)$ for fixed $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}$, m such that $0 \leq m \leq d+1$, and nonnegative integers j_1,..., j_d such that $j_1 + \cdots + j_d = m$. Then by induction

$$|\hat{f}| + |\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{f}| + |\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{f}| \leq 7 \cdot 2^m g_{00}^2 \leq g.$$

Then (34) (for 4th powers of g_{00}) implies that f^2 satisfies (B3) with respect to $a \equiv 1$, for π_{ϑ_0}-a.s. nonrandom initial conditions. Finally, (B4) holds for π_{ϑ_0}-a.s. nonrandom initial conditions since (H1B-H2B) hold. Hence we can apply Theorem 6.1 to (27) to conclude that there exists constants $C > 0$, $T_0 \geq 0$, and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $T > T_0$ and $n \geq n_0$, and arbitrary $A > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}} \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} |\tfrac{1}{T}\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta)| \geq A\} \leq \\ \leq \quad & \tfrac{1}{A^2}\mathbb{E}\left(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}} \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} |\tfrac{1}{T}\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta)|\right)^2 \leq \tfrac{C}{A^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{A \to +\infty} \overline{\lim}_{n,T} \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}} \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} |\tfrac{1}{T}\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta)| \geq A\} = 0$$

which proves the corollary. □

In order to prove Theorems 4.5-4.6 we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA **6.14** *Let* (H1B-3B) *hold. Then for all $\theta_0 = (\vartheta_0, \sigma) \in \Psi$ there exist constants $C_r > 0$ ($r = 0, 1, 2$) such that $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s. there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that for all $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \overline{\Theta}$, and all $T \geq T_0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tfrac{1}{T}D^r\ell_T(\vartheta_1) - \tfrac{1}{T}D^r\ell_T(\vartheta_2)| &\leq C_r|\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2|,\ r = 0, 1, 2, \\ |\tfrac{1}{T}D\ell_T(\vartheta_1) - \tfrac{1}{T}D\ell_T(\vartheta_2) - \tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)| &\leq \tfrac{1}{2}C_2|\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2|^2, \quad \textit{and} \\ \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} \tfrac{1}{T}|D^3\ell_T(\vartheta)| &\leq C_2. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA **6.15** *Let* (H1B-3B) *hold. Then for all $\theta_0 = (\vartheta_0, \sigma) \in \Psi$, $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s.*

$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} |\tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta)| = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Let $\theta_0 = (\vartheta_0, \sigma) \in \Psi$ be arbitrary. Since Θ is an open set there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $K(\vartheta_0, \varepsilon_0) \subset \Theta$. Let ℓ_{ϑ_0} be function (12) and let $\lambda_0 := \min_{|y|=1} y^\tau I(\vartheta_0) y = -\max_{|y|=1} y^\tau D^2\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0) y > 0$ be the minimal eigenvalue of the Fisher information matrix $I(\vartheta_0)$ since it is positive definite by (H5B). Moreover, let $C_r > 0$ ($r = 0, 1, 2$) be constants from Lemma 6.14, and let Ω_0 be an intersection of the events from Lemmas 6.14-6.15, and the events such that (12) and (13) hold for ϑ_0. Hence $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\Omega_0) = 1$, and for $\omega \in \Omega_0$, let $T_0 \equiv T_0(\omega) > 0$ be a such that the statements of Lemma 6.14 hold for $T \geq T_0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \wedge \lambda_0/(4C_2)$. Then $K(\vartheta_0, \varepsilon) \subset \Theta$. Let $\omega \in \Omega_0$ be fixed. Since (13) holds, there exists $T_1 \geq T_0$ such that for all $T \geq T_1$, $|\frac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0) - D^2\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0)| < \frac{\lambda_0}{4}$ and $|\frac{1}{T}D\ell_T(\vartheta_0) - D\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0)| < \frac{\lambda_0}{4}\varepsilon$. Then for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $|y| = 1$, $T \geq T_1$, and $\vartheta \in K(\vartheta_0, \varepsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} y^\tau(\tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta))y \quad \leq \quad & |\tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta) - \tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0)| + |\tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0) - D^2\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0)| + \\ & + y^\tau D^2\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0)y < C_2|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| + \tfrac{\lambda_0}{4} - \lambda_0 \leq \\ \leq \quad & C_2\tfrac{\lambda_0}{4C_2} + \tfrac{\lambda_0}{4} - \lambda_0 = -\tfrac{\lambda_0}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\vartheta \mapsto \frac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta)$ is a strictly concave function on $K(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)$. Moreover, if $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is such that $|z| = \varepsilon$, then for $y := z/|z|$ and $T \geq T_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{T}D\ell_T(\vartheta_0+z)z &= \tfrac{1}{T}D\ell_T(\vartheta_0)z + z^\tau(\tfrac{1}{T}\textstyle\int_0^1 D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0+tz)\,dt)z \leq \\ &\leq |\tfrac{1}{T}D\ell_T(\vartheta_0) - D\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0)|\varepsilon + y^\tau(\tfrac{1}{T}\textstyle\int_0^1 D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0+tz)dt)y\varepsilon^2 \leq \\ &\leq \tfrac{\lambda_0}{4}\varepsilon^2 - \tfrac{\lambda_0}{2}\varepsilon^2 = -\tfrac{\lambda_0}{4}\varepsilon^2 < 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $\hat\vartheta_T \in K(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)$ such that $D\ell_T(\hat\vartheta_T) = \emptyset$ (see e.g. Lemma 4.3. in [15]), and $D^2\ell_T(\hat\vartheta_T) < \emptyset$ since $\min_{|y|=1} y^\tau(-\frac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta))y \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\min_{|y|=1} y^\tau I(\vartheta_0)y$ for all $\vartheta \in K(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)$ obviously. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small number, these imply statement (ii) of the theorem. Notice that $\hat\vartheta_T$ is the unique point of maximum of function ℓ_T on $K(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)$ since ℓ_T is strictly concave on this set. To finish the proof of statement (i) we have to prove that there exists $T_2 \geq T_1$ such that $\hat\vartheta_T$ is the unique point of global maximum of ℓ_T on $\overline{\Theta}$. Since for all $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}\setminus\{\vartheta_0\}$, $\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0) > \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta)$, $\ell_{\vartheta_0} \in C(\overline{\Theta})$, and $\overline{\Theta}\setminus K(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)$ is a compact set, it follows that $\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0) > \sup_{|y|\geq\varepsilon}\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+y)$. By Lemma 4.4. in [15] there exists a number $0 < s(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ such that

$$\Delta(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon) := \inf_{|x|\leq s(\varepsilon)}\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+x) - \sup_{|y|\geq\varepsilon}\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+y) > 0.$$

Since Lemma 6.15 holds there exists $T_2 \geq T_1$ such that for $T \geq T_2$,

$$\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\Theta}}|\tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta)| < \tfrac{\Delta(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)}{4}.$$

If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|x| \leq s(\varepsilon)$ and $|y| \geq \varepsilon$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+x) &= \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+x) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+x) + \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+x) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+y) + \\ &\quad + \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+y) - \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+y) + \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+y) \geq \\ &\geq -\tfrac{\Delta(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)}{4} + \inf_{|x|\leq s(\varepsilon)}\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+x) - \sup_{|y|\geq\varepsilon}\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0+y) + \\ &\quad - \tfrac{\Delta(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)}{4} + \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+y) \geq \\ &\geq \tfrac{\Delta(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)}{2} + \tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+y)\end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\inf_{|x|\leq s(\varepsilon)}\tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+x) - \sup_{|y|\geq\varepsilon}\tfrac{1}{T}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+y) \geq \tfrac{\Delta(\vartheta_0,\varepsilon)}{2} > 0 \tag{35}$$

and hence $\ell_T(\vartheta_0) > \sup_{|y|\geq\varepsilon}\ell_T(\vartheta_0+y)$. Finally, (i) follows. To prove statement (iii), first notice that

$$\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{T}}D\ell_T(\vartheta_0) = \tfrac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{T}}\textstyle\int_0^T \frac{1}{b(X_t)}D\mu_0(X_t)\,dW_t \overset{\mathcal{L}-\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_0}}{\longrightarrow} N(\emptyset,\sigma I(\vartheta_0)),\ T\to+\infty \tag{36}$$

by Theorem 1 in [6] since (H1B-5B) hold, and second notice that for $\bar\vartheta(s) := s\vartheta_T + (1-s)\vartheta_0$,

$$D\ell_T(\hat\vartheta_T) = D\ell_T(\vartheta_0) + D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0)(\hat\vartheta_T-\vartheta_0) + \textstyle\int_0^1\int_0^1 D^3\ell_T(\bar\vartheta(st))\,ds\,tdt(\hat\vartheta_T-\vartheta_0)^2. \tag{37}$$

Let $H_T(\vartheta_0) := \frac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0) + \frac{1}{T}\int_0^1\int_0^1 D^3\ell_T(\bar\vartheta(st))\,ds\,tdt(\hat\vartheta_T-\vartheta_0)$, and let us recall $\omega \in \Omega_0$ and $T_1 = T_1(\omega)$ from the first part od the proof. Notice that $H_T(\vartheta_0)$ is a symmetric matrix. Then from Lemma 6.14, for $T \geq T_1$,

$$|H_T(\vartheta_0) - \tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0)| \leq \sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\Theta}}|\tfrac{1}{2T}D^3\ell_T(\vartheta)||\hat\vartheta_T-\vartheta_0| \leq \tfrac{C_2}{2}|\hat\vartheta_T-\vartheta_0|$$

and hence, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|y| = 1$,

$$y^\tau H_T(\vartheta_0)y \leq |H_T(\vartheta_0) - \tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0)| + y^\tau(\tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta))y \leq -\tfrac{3\lambda_0}{8}$$

implying that $H_T(\vartheta_0)$ is a negative definite matrix, and $|H_T(\vartheta_0)^{-1}| \leq \frac{8}{3\lambda_0}$. Since $|I(\vartheta_0)^{-1}| = 1/\lambda_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_T(\vartheta_0)^{-1} + I(\vartheta_0)^{-1}| \leq |H_T(\vartheta_0)^{-1}| \cdot |H_T(\vartheta_0) + I(\vartheta_0)| \cdot |I(\vartheta_0)^{-1}| \leq \\ \leq \; & \tfrac{8}{3\lambda_0^2}(\tfrac{C_2}{2}|\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta_0| + |\tfrac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\vartheta_0) - D^2\ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_0)|), \end{aligned}$$

and (ii) and (13) hold, it follows that $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s.

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty} H_T(\vartheta_0)^{-1} = -I(\vartheta_0)^{-1}. \tag{38}$$

Finally, since $D\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T) = \emptyset$ and $I(\vartheta_0)$ is nonrandom, (36-38) imply that

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta_0) = -H_T(\vartheta_0)^{-1}\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{T}}D\ell_T(\vartheta_0) \overset{\mathcal{L}-\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_0}}{\longrightarrow} N(\emptyset, \sigma I(\vartheta_0)^{-1}),\ T \to +\infty. \ \square$$

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Let $\theta_0 = (\vartheta_0, \sigma) \in \Psi$ be arbitrary, and let $C_r > 0$ $(r = 0, 1, 2)$ be constants from Lemma 6.14. Moreover, let Ω_0 be a $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-probability one event from Lemmas 6.14-6.15 and Theorem 4.5 $(i$-$ii)$. Let $\omega \in \Omega_0$ be fixed. Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be a such number that $K(\vartheta_0, \varepsilon_0) \subset \Theta$, and let $\lambda_0 > 0$ be the minimal eigenvalue of Fisher matrix $I(\vartheta_0)$. Then there exists $T_0 = T_0(\omega) \geq 0$ such that for all $T > T_0$, $\hat{\vartheta}_T \in K(\vartheta_0, \varepsilon_0/2)$ and $\lambda_T := \min_{|y|=1} y^\tau(-\frac{1}{T}D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T))y \geq \lambda_0/2 > 0$, and the statements of Lemma 6.14 hold. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary small number such that $\varepsilon < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \wedge \frac{\lambda_0}{8C_2}$. Then $K(\hat{\vartheta}_T, \varepsilon) \subset K(\hat{\vartheta}_T, \varepsilon_0/2) \subset K(\vartheta_0, \varepsilon_0) \subset \Theta$. Moreover, on event

$$\Omega_{n,T} := \{\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\Theta}} |\tfrac{1}{T}D^r\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \tfrac{1}{T}D^r\ell_T(\vartheta)| \leq \tfrac{\lambda_0}{8}(1 \wedge \tfrac{\lambda_0}{8C_2}),\ r = 1, 2\},$$

for $\vartheta \in K(\hat{\vartheta}_T, \varepsilon)$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|z| = \varepsilon$, and $y := z/|z|$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} y^\tau D^2\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta)y \quad &\leq \quad |D^2\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - D^2\ell_T(\vartheta)| + |D^2\ell_T(\vartheta) - D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T)| + \\ & \quad + y^\tau D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T)y < (\tfrac{\lambda_0}{4} + C_2\tfrac{\lambda_0}{8C_2} - \tfrac{\lambda_0}{2})T = -\tfrac{\lambda_0}{4}T < 0, \\ D\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_T + z)z \quad &= \quad D\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_T)z + z^\tau\textstyle\int_0^1 D^2\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_T + tz)\,dt)z \leq \\ & \leq \quad |D\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_T) - D\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T)|\varepsilon + y^\tau(\textstyle\int_0^1 D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T + tz)dt)y\varepsilon^2 \leq \\ & \leq \quad \varepsilon\tfrac{\lambda_0}{8C_2}(\tfrac{\lambda_0}{8} - \tfrac{\lambda_0}{4})T = -\varepsilon\tfrac{\lambda_0}{8C_2}\tfrac{\lambda_0}{8}T < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\vartheta \mapsto \ell_{n,T}(\vartheta)$ is a strictly concave function on $K(\hat{\vartheta}_T, \varepsilon)$, and there exists $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} \in K(\hat{\vartheta}_T, \varepsilon)$ such that $D\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}) = \emptyset$, and $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ is the unique stationary point and a point of maximum of $\ell_{n,T}$ at $K(\hat{\vartheta}_T, \varepsilon)$. These imply that $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ is a random vector. Since $\lim_{n,T} \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\Omega_{n,T}^c) = 0$ by Corollary 6.13, and $\Omega_{n,T} \subset \{D\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}) = \emptyset\} \cap \{|\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| < \varepsilon\}$, statements (i) and (ii) of the theorem follow. Moreover if process $(\tilde{\vartheta}_{n,T})$ satisfies (i) and (ii) then statement (iv) follows since

$$\Omega_{n,T} \cap \{D\ell_{n,T}(\tilde{\vartheta}_{n,T}) = \emptyset\} \cap \{|\tilde{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} = \tilde{\vartheta}_{n,T}\}$$

by uniqness of a stationary point of $\ell_{n,T}$ on $K(\hat{\vartheta}_T, \varepsilon)$. To prove (iii), let $A > 0$ be an arbitrary number, and let $\Omega_{n,T}(A) := \{\sup_{\vartheta\in\overline{\Theta}} |\frac{1}{T}D\ell_{n,T}(\vartheta) - \frac{1}{T}D\ell_T(\vartheta)| \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{4}A\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}\}$. Then on event $\Omega_{n,T}(A) \cap \Omega_{n,T}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| \quad &\leq \quad |(D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T))^{-1}| \cdot |D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T)(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T)| \leq \\ & \leq \quad |(D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T))^{-1}| \cdot |D\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}) - D\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T) - D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T)(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T)| + \\ & \quad + |(D^2\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_T))^{-1}| \cdot |D\ell_{n,T}(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}) - D\ell_T(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T})| \leq \\ & \leq \quad \tfrac{2}{\lambda_0 T}\tfrac{C_2}{2}\tfrac{\lambda_0}{2C_2}T|\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| + \tfrac{2}{\lambda_0 T}\tfrac{\lambda_0 T}{4}A\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}} \leq \\ & \leq \quad \tfrac{1}{2}|\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| + \tfrac{1}{2}A\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}} \\ \Rightarrow \qquad & \quad |\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| \leq A\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.14 and since $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{2C_2}$. Hence $\Omega_{n,T}(A) \cap \Omega_{n,T} \subseteq \{|\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| \leq A\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad &\leq \overline{\lim}_{A\to+\infty} \overline{\lim}_{n,T} \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\{|\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| \leq A\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}\} \leq \\ &\leq \lim_{A\to+\infty} \overline{\lim}_{n,T} \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\Omega_{n,T}(A)^c) + \overline{\lim}_{n,T} \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\Omega_{n,T}^c) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 6.13, and (iii) follows. Consistency of $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ (the first part of statement (v)) follows directly from (ii) and Theorem 4.5 (ii). To prove its asymptotic normality (the second part of (v)) notice that

$$|\sqrt{T}(\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \vartheta_0) - \sqrt{T}(\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta_0)| = \sqrt{T\delta_{n,T}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{n,T}}} |\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T} - \hat{\vartheta}_T| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}} 0$$

when $\lim_{n,T} T\delta_{n,T} = 0$ since (iii) holds. Then the second part of (v) follows by Slutsky theorem since Theorem 4.5 (iii) holds. To prove statement (vi), first we need to prove that

$$\frac{1}{T}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i}, \vartheta)\Delta_i t)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} - \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t}\right) = O_{\mathbb{P}_\theta}(1),\ T \to +\infty, n \to +\infty \qquad (39)$$

for π_{ϑ_0}-a.s. initial conditions. This follows from Lemma 6.9, the proof of Lemma 6.12, and the fact that the functions $f := \mu(\cdot, \vartheta)/b$ and b satisfies (B1-4) which is proved in Corollary 6.13. The proof of asymptotic normality of $\hat{\sigma}_{n,T}$ is the same as in the proof of Corollary 4.2 since

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i}, \vartheta)\Delta_i t)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} - \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t}\right) = \\ = \quad &\frac{\sqrt{T\delta_{n,T}}}{T}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i}, \vartheta)\Delta_i t)^2}{b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} - \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t}\right) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

when $T \to +\infty$ such that $T\delta_{n,T} \to 0$, and since $(i - v)$, Corollary 6.13, and Lemma 6.15 hold. Similarly consistency of $\hat{\sigma}_{n,T}$ follows from decomposition (31) in the proof of Corollary 4.2 (but without factor "$\sqrt{n}$") by using (39) which appears with factor "$\delta_{n,T}$" (notice that $\delta_{n,T}/T = 1/n$), and by the strong low of large numbers instead of CLT. In this case it is sufficient to assume that $\delta_{n,T} \to 0$ when $T \to +\infty$. Finally, for proving $\mathcal{F}_{n,T}^0$-measurability of $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ (and hence $\hat{\sigma}_{n,T}$ too) it is sufficient to prove that $\hat{\vartheta}_{n,T}$ is a unique point of maximum of $\ell_{n,T}$ on $\overline{\Theta}$. This proof follows in the similar way as proof of uniqness of $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ as global point of maximum of ℓ_T on $\overline{\Theta}$ by replacing ℓ_T with $\ell_{n,T}$ and ℓ_{ϑ_0} with ℓ_T. □

References

[1] Aït-Sahalia, Y., & Mykland, P. A. (2004). Estimators of diffusions with randomly spaced discrete observations: a general theory. *The Annals of Statistics*, 32(5), 2186-2222

[2] Bibby, B. M., & Sørensen, M. (1995). Martingale estimation functions for discretely observed diffusion processes. *Bernoulli*, 1(1/2), 17-39

[3] Bishwal, J. P. N. (2008). *Parameter Estimation in Stochastic Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1923, Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

[4] Borisovich, Yu., Bliznyakov, N., Izrailevich, Ya., & Fomenko, T. (1985). *Introduction to Topology*, Moscow: Mir Publishers.

[5] Brockwell, P. J. & Davis, R. A. (1991). *Time Series: Theory and Methods*, 2nd ed., New York: Springer-Verlag.

[6] Brown, B. M., & Hewitt, J. I. (1975). Asymptotic likelihood theory for diffusion processes, *J. Appl. Prob.*, 12 228-238.

[7] Dacunha-Castelle, D., & Florens-Zmirou, D. (1986). Estimation of the coefficients of a diffusion from discrete observations, *Stochastics*, 19 263-284.

[8] Dohnal, G. (1987). On estimating the diffusion coefficient. *J. Appl. Prob.*, 24 105-114.

[9] Feigin, P. D. (1976). Maximum likelihood estimation for continuous-time stochastic processes. *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, 8 712-736

[10] Florens-Zmirou, D. (1989). Approximate discrete time shemes for statistics of diffusion processes. *Statistics: A Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 20 547-557.

[11] Friedman, A. (1975). *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*, Vol. 1-2, New York: Academic Press.

[12] Genon-Catalot, V. & Jacod, J. (1993). On the estimation of the diffusion coefficient for multi-dimensional diffusion processes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Probab. Statist.*, 29 119-151.

[13] Huzak, M. (1997). *Selection of diffusion growth process and parameter estimation from discrete observation*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Zagreb (in Croatian).

[14] Huzak, M. (1998). Parameter estimation of diffusion models. *Mathematical Communications*, 3 129-134.

[15] Huzak, M. (2001). A general theorem on approximate maximum likelihood estimation. *Glasnik matematički*, 36(56) 139-153. (hrcak.srce.hr/file/7900).

[16] Kessler, M. (1997). Estimation of an Ergodic Diffusion from Discrete Observations, *Scand. J. Statist.*, 24 211-229.

[17] Kloeden, P. E., Platen, E., Schurz, H., & Sørensen, M. (1996). On Effects of Discretization on Estimators of Drift Parameters for Diffusion Processes. *J. Applied Prob.* 33(4) 1061-1076.

[18] Lanska, V. (1979). Minimum contrast estimation in diffusion processes. *J. Appl. Prob.*, 16 65-75.

[19] LeBreton, A. (1976). On continuous and discrete sampling for parameter estimation in diffusion type processes, *Math. Prog. Study*, 5 124-144.

[20] Liptser, R. S., & Shiryayev, A. N. (1977). *Statistics of random processes I, General Theory*, New York: Springer-Verlag.

[21] Revuz, D., & Yor, M. (1991). *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

[22] Rogers, L. C. G., & Williams, D. (1987). *Diffusion, Markov Processes, and Martingales*, Vol. 1-2, Chichester: Wiley.

[23] Taylor, M. E. (1996). *Partial Differential Equations. Basic Theory.*, New York: Springer.

[24] Yoshida, N. (1992). Estimation for diffusion processes from discrete observations. *J. Multivar. Anal.*, 41 220-242.

[25] Yor, M. (1975/76). Sur quelques approximations d'integrales stochastiques. *Lecture notes in probability*, 528 518-528.

APPENDIX

Proof of Lemma 6.3. Let $\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{j}} = k_1^{j_1} \cdots k_d^{j_d}$ for nonnegative integers j_1,..., j_d such that $m := j_1 + \cdots + j_d \leq d+1$. Then for $x \in E$,

$$|\mathbf{k}|^{\mathbf{j}} |C_{\mathbf{k}}(x)| = |C_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mathbf{j})}(x)| \leq \tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{K}}_0} \left| \tfrac{\partial^m}{\partial \vartheta_1^{j_1} \cdots \partial \vartheta_d^{j_d}} f(x, \vartheta) \right| d\vartheta \leq g(x)$$

by the definition of Fourier coefficients, the monotonicity of integral, and (B3). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + |k_1| + \cdots + |k_d|)^{d+1} |C_{\mathbf{k}}(x)| = \\ = \quad & \textstyle\sum_{j_0 + j_1 + \cdots + j_d = d+1} \tfrac{(d+1)!}{j_0! j_1! \cdots j_d!} |\mathbf{k}|^{\mathbf{j}} |C_{\mathbf{k}}(x)| \leq (d+1)^{d+1} g(x) \end{aligned}$$

by multinomial theorem, which implies the statement of the lemma. □

Proof of Lemma 6.4. At first, let us suppose that f is bounded on E. If $M_t := (\int_{t_0}^t f(X_s)\, dW_s)^2 - \int_{t_0}^t f^2(X_s)\, ds$, then Itô formula and isometry implies

$$\mathbb{E}(M_t)^2 = 4\mathbb{E}(\textstyle\int_{t_0}^t (\int_{t_0}^s f(X_u)\, dW_u) f(X_s)\, dW_s)^2 \leq 2\|f\|_\infty^4 (t - t_0)^2.$$

Hence, if $N_t := \int_{t_0}^t f(X_s)\,dW_s$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(N_t)^4 \le 2\mathbb{E}(M_t)^2 + 2\mathbb{E}(\int_{t_0}^t f^2(X_s)\,ds)^2 \le 6\|f\|_\infty^4 (t-t_0)^2 < +\infty.$$

Similarly, if $t_0 \le s < s+h \le t$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(N_{s+h}^2 - N_s^2)^2 \le 2\|f\|_\infty^4 (4(s-t_0)+3h)h \to 0, \quad h \to 0.$$

In addition $\mathbb{E}(f^2(X_{s+h}) - f^2(X_s))^2 \to 0$, and $\mathbb{E}f^4(X_{s+h}) \to \mathbb{E}f^4(X_s)$ when $h \to 0$ by the dominated convergence theorem. Hence

$$\begin{array}{ll} & |\mathbb{E}(N_{s+h}^2 f^2(X_{s+h})) - \mathbb{E}(N_s^2 f^2(X_s))| \le \\ \le & \|f\|_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}|N_{s+h}^2 - N_s^2| + \mathbb{E}(N_s^2 |f^2(X_{s+h}) - f^2(X_s)|) \le \\ \le & \|f\|_\infty^2 \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(N_{s+h}^2 - N_s^2)^2} + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(N_s^4)\mathbb{E}(f^2(X_{s+h}) - f^2(X_s))^2} \to 0, \quad h \to 0 \end{array}$$

implying that $s \mapsto \mathbb{E}(N_s^2 f^2(X_s))$, and $s \mapsto \mathbb{E}f^4(X_s)$ are continuous functions on $[t_0, t]$. Let $x(t) := \mathbb{E}N_t^4$. Since for $t_0 \le s < s+h \le t$,

$$x(s+h) - x(s) = \mathbb{E}(N_{s+h}^4 - N_s^4) = 6\int_s^{s+h} \mathbb{E}(N_u^2 f^2(X_u))\,du,$$

by Itô formula, $s \mapsto x(s)$ is a differentiable function for $s > t_0$, and

$$x(s+h) - x(s) \le 3\int_s^{s+h} x(u)\,du + 3\int_s^{s+h} \mathbb{E}f^4(X_u)\,du.$$

Hence $\dot{x}(s) \le 3x(s) + 3\mathbb{E}f^4(X_s)$ for $s > t_0$, and $x(t_0) = 0$, implying that

$$\mathbb{E}(\int_{t_0}^t f(X_s)\,dW_s)^4 = x(t) \le 3e^{3t}\int_{t_0}^t e^{-3s}\mathbb{E}f^4(X_s)\,ds \le 3e^{3(t-t_0)}\mathbb{E}(\int_{t_0}^t f^4(X_s)\,ds).$$

Now, let $f \in C(E)$ be unbounded generally. Then there exists a sequence (f_m) of bounded functions such that for all m, $f_m \in C(E)$, $|f_m| \uparrow |f|$, and $f_m \to f$ (see the proof of Corollary 6.11). Since $\lim_m f_m(X_s) = f(X_s)$ and $|f_m(X_s) - f(X_s)| \le 2|f(X_s)|$ for all m, and s, it follows that

$$\int_{t_0}^t f_m(X_s)\,dW_s \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_{t_0}^t f(X_s)\,dW_s$$

by the dominated convergence theorem for stochastic integrals. Then there exists a subsequence such that

$$\int_{t_0}^t f_{m_k}(X_s)\,dW_s \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \int_{t_0}^t f(X_s)\,dW_s \;\Rightarrow \Big(\int_{t_0}^t f_{m_k}(X_s)\,dW_s\Big)^4 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \Big(\int_{t_0}^t f(X_s)\,dW_s\Big)^4,$$

and hence by Fatou's lemma and monotone convergence theorem

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_0}^t f(X_s)\,dW_s\Big)^4 \le \underline{\lim}_k \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_0}^t f_{m_k}(X_s)\,dW_s\Big)^4 \le \\ \le & 3e^{3(t-t_0)}\overline{\lim}_k \mathbb{E}(\int_{t_0}^t f_{m_k}^4(X_s)\,ds)) = 3e^{3(t-t_0)}\mathbb{E}(\int_{t_0}^t f^4(X_s)\,ds). \end{array}$$

The last inequality follows trivially from the first one. □

Proof of Lemma 6.5. By applying Itô formula on log-function of b^{16} over time interval $[t, t+h]$ it follows that $(b(X_{t+h})/b(X_t))^{16} = M_h Z_h$ where

$$M_h = \exp\Big(16\sqrt{\sigma}\int_t^{t+h} b'(X_s)\,dW_s - \tfrac{\sigma}{2}16^2\int_t^{t+h} b'^2(X_s)\,ds\Big)$$

is a positive supermartingal (see [20], Lemma 6.1, p.207) since $\mathbb{E}\int_t^{t+h} b'^2(X_s)\,ds \le \mathbb{E}\int_t^{t+h} r^2(X_s)\,ds \le (3T + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T r^8(X_s)\,ds)/4 < +\infty$ by assumption (B4) (and so $\mathbb{E}M_h \le \mathbb{E}M_0 = 1$), and

$$Z_h = \exp\Big(8\int_t^{t+h}\Big(2\tfrac{\mu_0 b'}{b} + \sigma(b''b + 15b'^2)\Big)(X_s)\,ds\Big).$$

By Markov property and assumption (B4), for $0 < h \le h_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}Z_h = \mathbb{E}[\,\mathbb{E}[Z_h|\mathcal{F}_t^0]\,] = \\ =\;& \mathbb{E}[\,\mathbb{E}_{X_t}[\exp\Big(8\int_0^h\Big(2\tfrac{\mu_0 b'}{b} + \sigma(b''b + 15b'^2)\Big)(X_s)\,ds\Big)]\,] \le \mathbb{E}[c(X_t)].\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $0 < h \le h_0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\tfrac{b(X_{t+h})}{b(X_t)}\Big)^8 = \mathbb{E}\sqrt{M_h Z_h} \le \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathbb{E}M_h + \mathbb{E}Z_h) \le \tfrac{1}{2}(1 + \mathbb{E}\,c(X_t)) = \mathbb{E}\,c_0(X_t).\ \square$$

Proof of Lemma 6.6. First, let us show that (21) implies (20). In the sam way it can be shown that (23) implies (22). Let $\delta := \delta_{n,T}$, and $I_i := \langle t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and isometry imply

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\Big|\tfrac{1}{T\sqrt{\delta}}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{I_i}(C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))a(X_t)\,dt\Big|^2 = \\ =\;& \tfrac{1}{T^2\delta}\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_0^T(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))\mathbb{1}_{I_i}(t))\,a(X_t)\,dt\Big|^2 \le \\ \le\;& \tfrac{1}{T\delta}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))\mathbb{1}_{I_i}(t)|^2 a^2(X_t)\,dt = \\ =\;& \tfrac{1}{T\delta}\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_0^T(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))\mathbb{1}_{I_i}(t))\,a(X_t)\,dW_t\Big|^2 = \\ =\;& \mathbb{E}\Big|\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{T\delta}}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{I_i}(C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i}))a(X_t)\,dW_t\Big|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove that there exist constants $K_1 > 0$, $T_1 \ge 0$, and n_1 such that

$$\tfrac{1}{T\delta}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}(|C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t) - C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2 a^2(X_t))\,dt \le K_1 \cdot K_{\mathbf{k}} \tag{41}$$

for $T > T_1$, and $n \ge n_1$ since the left hand side of (41) is equal to (40). Similarly, to prove (22) and (23) it is sufficient to prove that there exist constants $K_2 > 0$, $T_0 \ge T_1$, and $n_0 \ge n_1$ such that for $T > T_0$, and $n \ge n_0$,

$$\tfrac{1}{T\delta}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}(|C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2\Big(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1\Big)^2 a^2(X_t))\,dt \le K_2 \cdot K_{\mathbf{k}}. \tag{42}$$

Let j_1,..., j_d be nonnegative integers such that $m := j_1 + \cdots + j_d \le d + 1$, and let $\vartheta \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_0$ be fixed. Then function $\tilde{f} := \frac{\partial^m}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\cdots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}} f(\cdot,\vartheta) \in C^2(E)$ by (B2). If $\mathcal{A}\tilde{f} := \tilde{f}'\mu_0 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{f}''\nu^2$, then $|\mathcal{A}\tilde{f}| \le g$, and $|\tilde{f}'\nu| \le g$ by (B3). Hence by applying Itô formula, Jensen's inequality, and Lemma 6.4 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}(\tilde{f}(X_t) - \tilde{f}(X_{t_i}))^4 = \mathbb{E}(\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t \mathcal{A}\tilde{f}(X_s)\,ds + \int_{t_i}^t(\tilde{f}'\nu)(X_s)\,dW_s)^4 \le \\ \le\;& 8(\mathbb{E}(\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t|\mathcal{A}\tilde{f}|(X_s)\,ds)^4 + \mathbb{E}(\int_{t_i}^t(\tilde{f}'\nu)(X_s)\,dW_s)^4) \le \\ \le\;& 8(\delta^3\,\mathbb{E}\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t(\mathcal{A}\tilde{f})^4(X_s)\,ds + 3e^{3\delta}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t(\tilde{f}'\nu)^4(X_s)\,ds) \le 24e^3\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t g^4(X_s)\,ds,\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbb{E}(a(X_t) - a(X_{t_i}))^4 \le 24e^3\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t \bar{a}^4(X_s)\,ds$ by an analogy, since we can assume that $\delta \le 1$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}(a^2(X_{t_i})(\tilde{f}(X_t) - \tilde{f}(X_{t_i}))^2) = \mathbb{E}[a^2(X_{t_i})\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f}(X_t) - \tilde{f}(X_{t_i}))^2|\mathcal{F}_{t_i}^0]] \le \\ \le\;& 2\mathbb{E}[a^2(X_{t_i})\mathbb{E}[\delta\int_{t_i}^t(\mathcal{A}\tilde{f})^2(X_s)\,ds + (\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t(\tilde{f}'\nu)(X_s)\,dW_s)^2|\mathcal{F}_{t_i}^0]] \le \\ \le\;& 4\,\mathbb{E}[a^2(X_{t_i})\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t g^2(X_s)\,ds] \le 2(t - t_i)\mathbb{E}a^4(X_{t_i}) + 2\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t g^4(X_s)\,ds.\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}((\tilde f(X_t)-\tilde f(X_{t_i}))^2a^2(X_t))\le\\
\le\;&\mathbb{E}(\tilde f(X_t)-\tilde f(X_{t_i}))^4+\mathbb{E}(a(X_t)-a(X_{t_i}))^4+2\mathbb{E}(a^2(X_{t_i})(\tilde f(X_t)-\tilde f(X_{t_i}))^2)\le\\
\le\;&25e^3\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t g^4(X_s)\,ds+24e^3\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t\bar a^4(X_s)\,ds+4(t-t_i)\mathbb{E}a^4(X_{t_i}).
\end{aligned}\tag{44}$$

Now, let $\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{j}}=k_1^{j_1}\cdots k_d^{j_d}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathbf{k}|^{\mathbf{j}\,2}\mathbb{E}(|C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t)-C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2a^2(X_t))=\mathbb{E}(|C^{(\mathbf{j})}_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t)-C^{(\mathbf{j})}_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2a^2(X_t))\le\\
\le\;&\tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathcal{K}_0}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\tfrac{\partial^m f}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\cdots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}}(X_t,\vartheta)-\tfrac{\partial^m f}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\cdots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}}(X_{t_i},\vartheta)\right)^2a^2(X_t)\right)d\vartheta\le\\
\le\;&25e^3\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t g^4(X_s)\,ds+24e^3\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t\bar a^4(X_s)\,ds+4(t-t_i)\mathbb{E}a^4(X_{t_i})
\end{aligned}\tag{45}$$

by the definition of Fourier's coefficients, Jensen's inequality, Fubini's theorem, and (44). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+|k_1|+\cdots+|k_d|)^{2(d+1)}\mathbb{E}(|C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t)-C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2a^2(X_t))\le\\
\le\;&(d+1)^{d+1}(1+|k_1|^2+\cdots+|k_d|^2)^{d+1}\mathbb{E}(|C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t)-C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2a^2(X_t))=\\
=\;&(d+1)^{d+1}\textstyle\sum_{j_0+\cdots+j_d=d+1}\frac{(d+1)!}{j_0!j_1!\cdots j_d!}|\mathbf{k}|^{\mathbf{j}\,2}\mathbb{E}(|C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t)-C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2a^2(X_t))\le\\
\le\;&(d+1)^{2(d+1)}(25e^3\mathbb{E}\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t g^4(X_s)\,ds+24e^3\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t\bar a^4(X_s)\,ds+4(t-t_i)\mathbb{E}a^4(X_{t_i}))
\end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(|C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t)-C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2a^2(X_t))\le\\
\le\;&K_{\mathbf{k}}^2(25e^3\mathbb{E}\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t g^4(X_s)\,ds+24e^3\mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t\bar a^4(X_s)\,ds+4(t-t_i)\mathbb{E}a^4(X_{t_i})).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $K'=5e^{3/2}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{T\delta}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}(|C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_t)-C_{\mathbf{k}}(X_{t_i})|^2a^2(X_t))\,dt\le\\
\le\;&K_{\mathbf{k}}^2K'^2\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{T\delta}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{I_i}\int_{t_i}^t(g^4+\bar a^4)(X_s)\,ds\,dt+\frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}a^4(X_{t_i})\Delta_it)\le\\
\le\;&K_{\mathbf{k}}^2K'^2(\tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\textstyle\int_0^T g^4(X_t)\,dt+\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T(\bar a^4(X_t)\,dt+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}a^4(X_{t_i})\Delta_it)).
\end{aligned}$$

Assumptions (B1-3) imply that there exist $T_1\ge 0$ and $n_1\in\mathbb{N}$ such that the expression in the parentheses on the right hand side of the above inequality is bounded by a constant $K''^2=C_g+C_a>0$ for $T>T_1$ and $n\ge n_1$. Hence $K_1:=K'K''>0$ in (41) and the statements (20-21) are proved. To prove (42) and hence statements (22-23) notice that

$$\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_0})}=\exp\left(\sqrt{\sigma}\textstyle\int_{t_0}^t b'(X_s)\,dW_s+\int_{t_0}^t\left(\frac{\mu_0b'}{b}+\frac{\sigma}{2}(b''b-b'^2)\right)(X_s)\,ds\right)$$

from the proof of Lemma 6.5. It follows that

$$\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_0})}-1=\textstyle\int_{t_0}^t\frac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_0})}\left(\sqrt{\sigma}b'(X_s)\,dW_s+(\frac{\mu_0b'}{b}+\frac{\sigma}{2}b''b)(X_s)\,ds\right)\tag{46}$$

by Itô formula applied on the exponential function. Now, in the same way as equations (43) and (44) have been derived we obtain the following: for subdivisions such that $\delta_{n,T}\le h_0$, and $\tilde f:=\frac{\partial^m}{\partial\vartheta_1^{j_1}\cdots\partial\vartheta_d^{j_d}}f(\cdot,\vartheta)$, $\vartheta\in\overline{\mathcal{K}}_0$, and some constants $C',C''>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(a^2(X_{t_i})\tilde f^2(X_{t_i})\left(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1\right)^2)\le\mathbb{E}(a^2(X_{t_i})g^2(X_{t_i})\left(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1\right)^2)\le\\
\le\;&C'(2(t-t_i)\mathbb{E}(a^4(X_{t_i})g^4(X_{t_i}))+2\mathbb{E}\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t\left(\frac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_i})}\right)^4r^4(X_s)\,ds)\le\\
\le\;&C'(2(t-t_i)\mathbb{E}(a^4(X_{t_i})g^4(X_{t_i}))+(t-t_i)\mathbb{E}\,c_0(X_{t_i})+\mathbb{E}\textstyle\int_{t_i}^t r^8(X_s)\,ds),
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(\tilde{f}^2(X_{t_i})\Big(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1\Big)^2a^2(X_t)) \le \mathbb{E}(g^2(X_{t_i})\Big(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1\Big)^2a^2(X_t)) \le \\
\le\ & \mathbb{E}(g^4(X_{t_i})(a(X_t)-a(X_{t_i}))^4) + \mathbb{E}\Big(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1\Big)^4 + \\
& +2\,\mathbb{E}(a^2(X_{t_i})g^2(X_{t_i})\Big(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1\Big)^2) \le C''(\,\mathbb{E}(g^4(X_{t_i})\int_{t_i}^t \bar{a}^4(X_s)\,ds) + \\
& +(t-t_i)\mathbb{E}(a^4(X_{t_i})g^4(X_{t_i})) + (t-t_i)\mathbb{E}\,c_0(X_{t_i}) + \mathbb{E}\int_{t_i}^t r^8(X_s)\,ds)
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.5, (B1) and (B4). Hence there exist $K_2>0$, $T_0\ge T_1$, and $n_0\ge n_1$ such that for all $T>T_0$ and $n\ge n_0$, (42) follows in the same way as (41) has been followed from (43) and (44) by using (B1-4). □

Proof of Lemma 6.7. By Lemma 6.3,

$$\textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|C_{\mathbf{k}}(x)| \le g(x)(d+1)^{d+1}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{1}{(1+|k_1|+\cdots+|k_d|)^{d+1}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{1}{(1+|k_1|+\cdots+|k_d|)^{d+1}} = \\
\le\ & \textstyle 1+\sum_{r=1}^d\binom{d}{r}\frac{2^r}{r^{d+1}}\sum_{k_1=1}^\infty\cdots\sum_{k_r=1}^\infty\Big(\frac{r}{k_1+\cdots+k_r}\Big)^{d+1} \le \\
\le\ & \textstyle 1+\sum_{r=1}^d\binom{d}{r}\frac{2^r}{r^{d+1}}\sum_{k_1=1}^\infty\cdots\sum_{k_r=1}^\infty\frac{1}{k_1^{\frac{d+1}{r}}\cdots k_r^{\frac{d+1}{r}}} = \\
=\ & \textstyle 1+\sum_{r=1}^d\binom{d}{r}\frac{2^r}{r^{d+1}}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^\infty\frac{1}{k^{\frac{d+1}{r}}}\Big)^r.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_k(1/k^{\frac{d+1}{r}})<+\infty$ for all $r\le d$, it follows that $K<+\infty$. Moreover, for any N, $\vartheta\in\bar{\mathcal{K}}_0$, and $x\in E$, $|S_N(x,\vartheta)-f(x,\vartheta)|\le\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|>N}|C_{\mathbf{k}}(x)|\le Kg(x)$, implying the statements of the lemma. □

Proof of Lemma 6.8. Let $x_0\in E$ be fixed and $F(x,\vartheta):=\int_{x_0}^x\frac{f(y,\vartheta)}{\nu(y)}a(y)\,dy$. Then F is a continuous function on $E\times\Theta$. By Itô formula applied on F,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_0^T f(X_t,\vartheta)a(X_t)\,dW_t = \\
=\ & F(X_T,\vartheta)-F(X_0,\vartheta)-\int_0^T\big(f(\cdot,\vartheta)\big(\frac{\mu_0}{\nu}-\frac{1}{2}\nu'\big)a+\frac{1}{2}(f(\cdot,\vartheta)a)'\nu\big)\,(X_t)\,dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a continuous function on Θ. □

Proof of Lemma 6.9. Let $I_i:=\langle t_i,t_{i+1}]$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{T}\Big|\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_i t}\left(\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dW_t\right)^2-(\Delta_iW)^2\right)\Big| \le \\
\le\ & \tfrac{1}{T}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_i t}(\int_{I_i}(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)dW_t)^2+\frac{2}{T}|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_i t}\Delta_iW\int_{I_i}(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)dW_t|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_i t}(\int_{I_i}(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)dW_t)^2=\frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_i t}\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)^2dt$$

by the isometry, it follows that this expression is bounded by a constant for all $T>T_1$ and $n\ge n_1$ and some $T_1\ge 0$ and n_1 in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6.6 since (B4) holds. It remains to prove the same for the second expression from the right hand side of the above inequality. By applying Ito formula and (46) the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_iW\textstyle\int_{I_i}(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)dW_t = \\
=\ & \textstyle\int_{I_i}(\int_{t_i}^t(\frac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)dW_s+(W_t-W_{t_i})(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1))\,dW_t+\int_{I_i}(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)\,dt = \\
=\ & \textstyle\int_{I_i}\Big(\int_{t_i}^t(\frac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)dW_s+(W_t-W_{t_i})(\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}-1)+\sqrt{\sigma}\Delta_it\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}b'(X_t)+ \\
& \textstyle+\sqrt{\sigma}(t-t_i)\int_{t_i}^t\frac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_i})}b'(X_s)\,dW_s\Big)\,dW_t+\int_{I_i}\int_{t_i}^t\frac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_i})}v(X_s)\,ds\,dt
\end{aligned}$$

where $v := (\mu(\cdot,\vartheta)/b)b' + (\sigma/2)bb''$. Then by applying the isometry and Cauchy inequality, and by assuming that $T \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\tfrac{1}{T}|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tfrac{1}{\Delta_i t}\Delta_i W \int_{I_i}(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1)dW_t|)^2 \leq \\ \leq\ & \tfrac{1}{T}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\Big(2\tfrac{1}{\Delta_i t^2}\int_{I_i}\int_{t_i}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1)^2 ds\,dt + \tfrac{1}{\Delta_i t^2}\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1)^4 dt + 2\Delta_i t + \\ & + \sigma\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})})^2 r^2(X_t)dt + \sigma\int_{I_i}\int_{t_i}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_i})})^2 r^2(X_s)\,ds\,dt + \\ & + (1+\sigma/2)\tfrac{1}{\Delta_i t}\int_{I_i}\int_{t_i}^{t}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{b(X_s)}{b(X_{t_i})})^2 r^2(X_s)\,ds\,dt\Big) \end{aligned}$$

since $|v| \leq (1+\sigma/2)r$ and $|b'| \leq r$ for function r from (B4). For all terms on the right hand side of the above inequality we can prove boundedness in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6.6 by using (B4), except for the following one for which we have to use the additional assumptions of the lemma to obtain the boundedness. First by using (46), then Lemma 6.4, and Ito formula we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tfrac{1}{T}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\tfrac{1}{\Delta_i t^2}\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1)^4 dt \leq \\ \leq\ & K'(1 + \tfrac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}(\int_0^T (r^8 + r^{16} + (b^2 b''')^8)(X_t)\,dt + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(c_0 + r^4)(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $K' > 0$. Now, the statement of the lemma follows. □

Proof of Lemma 6.12. By applying (1) it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{(\Delta_i X - \mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)\Delta_i t)^2}{\sigma b^2(X_{t_i})\Delta_i t} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{(\Delta_i W)^2}{\Delta_i t} = \\ =\ & \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_i t}\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{\mu(X_t,\vartheta)-\mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dt\right)^2 - && \text{(E1)} \\ & \textstyle -2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sqrt{\sigma}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dW_t \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta_i t}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{\mu(X_t,\vartheta)-\mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dt + && \text{(E2)} \\ & \textstyle +\sigma\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_i t}\left((\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dW_t)^2 - (\Delta_i W)^2\right). && \text{(E3)} \end{aligned}$$

First we will prove that the expression from the left hand side of the above equation is bounded in L^1-norm by a constant for all $n \geq n_0$ (for some n_0) in case when all functions $\mu(\cdot,\vartheta)$, b and their appropriate partial derivatives are bounded on E, and then the statement of the lemma will follow by using local compactness of E and Markov's inequality just in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 6.11. Let $f := \mu(\cdot,\vartheta)/b$ and $I_i := \langle t_i, t_{i+1}]$, and let n be such that $\delta_{n,T} \leq 1$. Then the expectation of $(E1)$ is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_i t}\left(\int_{I_i}\frac{\mu(X_t,\vartheta)-\mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dt\right)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(\Delta_i t)^2}\left(\int_{I_i}\frac{\mu(X_t,\vartheta)-\mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dt\right)^2 \leq \\ \leq\ & 2T\Big(\tfrac{1}{T}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\tfrac{1}{\Delta_i t}\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}(f(X_t) - f(X_{t_i}))^2\,dt + \\ & + \tfrac{1}{T}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\tfrac{1}{\Delta_i t}\int_{I_i}\mathbb{E}f^2(X_t)(\tfrac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})} - 1)^2\,dt\Big) \leq TC'. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of a constant $C' > 0$ follows in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6.6 since (B1-4) hold for bounded functions by Remark 6.2. L^1-norm of $(E2)$ is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{b(X_t)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dW_t\right)^2 + \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(\Delta_i t)^2}\left(\int_{I_i}\frac{\mu(X_t,\vartheta)-\mu(X_{t_i},\vartheta)}{b(X_{t_i})}\,dt\right)^2 \leq \\ \leq\ & TK(1 + \tfrac{1}{n}\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_0(X_{t_i})) + TC' \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $K > 0$ by the isometry and Lemma 6.5. Now, boundedness of L^1-norm of $(E2)$ follows from $(B4)$. L^1-norm of $(E3)$ is bounded by Lemma 6.9 and Remark 6.10. □

Proof of Lemma 6.14. Let $f := \mu/b : E \times \overline{\Theta} \to \mathbb{R}$, and let $f_0 := \mu(\cdot, \vartheta_0)/b$. For nonnegative integers j_1,..., j_d such that $j_1 + \cdots + j_d = 3$, let $\tilde{f} := \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \vartheta_1^{j_1} \cdots \partial \vartheta_d^{j_d}} f$, and $\hat{f} := \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \vartheta_1^{j_1} \cdots \partial \vartheta_d^{j_d}} (f^2)$. Then for $T > 0$, and $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}$,

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial \vartheta_1^{j_1} \cdots \partial \vartheta_d^{j_d}} \ell_T(\vartheta) = \int_0^T (\tilde{f}(\cdot, \vartheta) f_0 - \tfrac{1}{2} \hat{f}(\cdot, \vartheta))(X_t)\, dt + \sqrt{\sigma} \int_0^T \tilde{f}(X_t, \vartheta)\, dW_t$$

by (10) and (1). Since (H2B-3B) hold, from the proof of Corollary 6.13 it follows that

$$\sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} \tfrac{1}{T} \left| \int_0^T (\tilde{f}(\cdot, \vartheta) f_0 - \tfrac{1}{2} \hat{f}(\cdot, \vartheta))(X_t)\, dt \right| \le C \tfrac{1}{T} \int_0^T g_{00}^2(X_t)\, dt,$$

where $C := 1 + 7 \cdot 2^3$. The right hand side of the above inequality $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s. converge to a finite nonrandom limit $L_0 = L_0(\vartheta_0)$ by the ergodic property of X. Hence on an $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s. event there exists $T_0' \ge 0$ such that for all $T > T_0'$,

$$\tfrac{1}{T} \int_0^T g_{00}^2(X_t)\, dt \le \left| \tfrac{1}{T} \int_0^T g_{00}^2(X_t)\, dt - L_0 \right| + L_0 \le 1 + L_0.$$

Let us suppose that $b > 0$. The case when $b < 0$ can be analyzing in the same way. By applying Itô formula twice, first on function $x \mapsto \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\tilde{f}(y,\vartheta)}{b(y)}\, dy$, and then on $x \mapsto \int_{x_0}^x \frac{g_0(y)}{b(y)}\, dy$, we get the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tfrac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{T} \left| \int_0^T \tilde{f}(X_t, \vartheta)\, dW_t \right| = \left| \tfrac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{T} \int_{x_0}^{X_T} \tfrac{\tilde{f}(y,\vartheta)}{b(y)}\, dy - \tfrac{1}{T} \int_0^T (\tilde{f} f_0 - \tfrac{\sigma}{2} (\tilde{f} b' - \tilde{f}' b))(X_t)\, dt \right| \le \\
\le\ & \tfrac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{T} \left| \int_{x_0}^{X_T} \tfrac{g_0(y)}{b(y)}\, dy \right| + \tfrac{1}{T} \int_0^T (g_0^2 + \tfrac{\sigma}{2} (g_1 + 2 g_0 |b'|))(X_t)\, dt \le \\
\le\ & \tfrac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{T} \left| \int_0^T g_0(X_t)\, dW_t \right| + \tfrac{1}{T} \int_0^T (2 g_0^2 + \tfrac{\sigma}{2} (g_0 + |g_0' b| + g_1 + 2 g_0 |b'|))(X_t)\, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand side of the above inequality $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s. converge to a finite nonrandom limit (by ergodic property and the law of large numbers for continuous martingales since (H2B-3B) hold), and since it is also an upper bond for the left hand side uniformly for all $\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}$, and all partial derivatives of the third order, there exists a constant $C'' > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s. there exists $T_0'' \ge T_0'$ such that for all $T > T_0''$, $\sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} \frac{1}{T} |D^3 \ell_T(\vartheta)|_\infty \le C''$. From the definition of operator norm, for the same T,

$$\sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} \tfrac{1}{T} |D^3 \ell_T(\vartheta)| \le \sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} \tfrac{1}{T} d^{3/2} |D^3 \ell_T(\vartheta)|_\infty \le d^{3/2} C'' =: C_2.$$

By the same arguments we can prove that there exist constants $C_0 > 0$, $C_1 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s. exists $T_0 \ge 0$ such that $T_0 \ge T_0''$, and for all $T > T_0$, $\sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} \frac{1}{T} |D^{r+1} \ell_T(\vartheta)| \le C_r$ for $r = 0, 1$. Finally, the statements of the lemma follow from the mean value theorem and Taylor expansion (37) from the proof of Theorem 4.5, where $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ and ϑ_0 are replaced with ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 respectively. □

Proof of Lemma 6.15. Let $C_0 > 0$ and Ω_0 be an event, both from Lemma 6.14 such that $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\Omega_0) = 1$ and on Ω_0 for all $T \ge T_0$, and all $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \overline{\Omega}$, $|\ell_T(\vartheta_1) - \ell_T(\vartheta_2)| \le T C_0 |\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2|$. Let $K_0 > 0$ be Lipschitz constant of function ℓ_{ϑ_0}, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary number. Let $\delta := \varepsilon/(2(C_0 + K_0))$. Since $\{K(\vartheta, \delta) : \vartheta \in \Theta\}$ is an open cover of compact $\overline{\Theta}$, there exists a finite subcover $\{K(\vartheta_i, \delta) : i = 1, \ldots, K_\varepsilon\}$. Let Ω_1 be an $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$-a.s. event such that on this event there exists $T_\varepsilon \ge T_0$ such that for all $T \ge T_\varepsilon$, and $1 \le j \le K_\varepsilon$, $|\frac{1}{T} \ell_T(\vartheta_j) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_j)| < \varepsilon/(2K_\varepsilon)$. Then on $\Omega_0 \cap \Omega_1$ for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$ there exists $i = i(\vartheta) \le K_\varepsilon$ such that $\vartheta \in K(\vartheta_i, \delta)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \tfrac{1}{T} \ell_T(\vartheta) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta) \right| = \left| \tfrac{1}{T} \ell_T(\vartheta) - \tfrac{1}{T} \ell_T(\vartheta_i) + \tfrac{1}{T} \ell_T(\vartheta_i) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_i) + \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_i) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta) \right| \le \\
\le\ & C_0 |\vartheta - \vartheta_i| + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{K_\varepsilon} \left| \tfrac{1}{T} \ell_T(\vartheta_j) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta_j) \right| + K_0 |\vartheta - \vartheta_i| < \\
<\ & (C_0 + K_0) \delta + K_\varepsilon \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2K_\varepsilon} = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sup_{\vartheta \in \overline{\Theta}} |\frac{1}{T} \ell_T(\vartheta) - \ell_{\vartheta_0}(\vartheta)| < \varepsilon$ which proves the lemma. □